

CREATING THE MEDIEVAL SAGA:
VERSIONS, VARIABILITY AND EDITORIAL
INTERPRETATIONS OF OLD NORSE
SAGA LITERATURE

Edited by

JUDY QUINN AND EMILY LETHBRIDGE

UNIVERSITY PRESS OF SOUTHERN DENMARK
2010

The Words on the Page: Thoughts on Philology, Old and New

M.J. DRISCOLL

Only a very few works from Antiquity or the Middle Ages survive in original, autograph or authorially sanctioned manuscripts. The vast majority have come down to us in copies, or copies of copies, lying at an unknown number of removes from the originals and varying in their trustworthiness, whether due to physical damage, scribal fallibility or deliberate revision. And while some works survive in unique manuscripts, most are preserved in dozens, hundreds or in some cases even thousands of copies. With very few exceptions, no two copies of the same work are ever exactly alike. There are, at the very least, always differences in punctuation (of which there is usually very little in manuscripts anyway), in spelling, reflecting both scribal caprice and changes in pronunciation, and in lexis, where new words are substituted for others no longer current. Scribes are also capable of error, miscopying words or sentences, writing them twice or leaving them out altogether. Scribes, particularly in vernacular traditions, frequently make deliberate changes too, correcting what they perceived to be errors or infelicities, shortening the text (either for stylistic reasons or to fit the amount of space available), or expanding it, either stylistically, through rhetorical elaboration, or materially, through the addition of new episodes or descriptive passages. Sometimes differences between the extant texts of a given work are so great that we are obliged to view them as representing separate versions or redactions. Occasionally these versions are so different that it is impossible to imagine how they could go back to a single original, and here it has been customary to see them as representing separate manifestations of an underlying (oral) tradition. In other cases it is necessary to speak of separate works treating similar material, rather than of separate versions of a single work.

When dealing with the transmission of classical and patristic literature, and indeed of the Bible itself, the gap between the surviving witnesses and the originals is generally very great, as is the number of witnesses: 500–600 in the case of popular Roman writers such as Terence or Juvenal, 5,000–6,000 in the case of the Greek New Testament. Scholars working with other ancient literatures with long histories of chirographic transmission, Sanskrit, for example, face similar problems, as do those working in certain vernacular traditions. In the case of Old Norse-Icelandic literature, the relative stability of the language meant that in Iceland, unlike most of the rest of Europe, medieval works were still copied and read well into modern times, even into the first decades of the twentieth century. The more popular sagas, principally romances like *Mágus saga jarls* but also some of the *Íslendingasögur* such as *Njáls saga*, and some of the eddic and sacred poems, like *Sólarljóð*, can thus be preserved in as many as sixty or seventy manuscripts, spanning up to six centuries.

To make sense of these oceans of exemplars, scholars have employed the science, or perhaps rather art, of ‘textual criticism’, generally understood as ‘the technique of restoring texts as nearly as possible to their original form’ (Kenney 1985: 614). Modern textual criticism was developed in the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries chiefly by and for classical and biblical scholars, but began fairly quickly to be employed by scholars in other fields. As Haugen and Johansson have discussed in the previous two essays, the method most commonly employed, the ‘genealogical’ or ‘stematic’ method, is normally associated with the name of the German philologist Karl Lachmann (1793–1851). Lachmann himself, however, never presented a stemma,¹ and his method had already been anticipated by scholars such as the Germans Friedrich August Wolf (1759–1824), Carl Gottlob Zumpt (1792–1894) and Friedrich Wilhelm Ritschl (1806–76), and the Dane Johan Nicolai Madvig (1804–86).² The association of Lachmann’s name with the method may be due, in part at least, to his having

¹ Although the first published *stemma codicum* is attributed to Carl Zumpt in his edition of Cicero from 1831, it was in fact preceded by that of the Swedish scholars Carl Johan Schlyter and Hans Samuel Collin in their edition of the laws of Västergötland (*Westgöta-Lagen*, the first volume of *Samling af Sweriges Gamla Lagar*), published in 1827; on Schlyter see Holm (1972) and Frederiksen (1991, 1994 and 2003).

² See further Timpanaro (1971).

worked with such a broad range of languages and texts, including the Greek New Testament, Lucretius and the *Nibelungen Not*. As detailed in Paul Maas's book, *Textkritik*,³ the method essentially involves reconstructing on the evidence of the surviving manuscripts the earliest recoverable form (or forms) of the text that lies behind them. First one must identify all the surviving witnesses, date and localise them if possible, and then establish the relationship between them through collation, where all the variant readings they contain are registered and compared. Errors and omissions made by the scribes when copying provide the most valid means of working out the relationships between the manuscripts. Witnesses which are demonstrably derived from earlier existing witnesses are without value and are therefore eliminated. The established relationship of the witnesses remaining is then usually given in the form of a family tree or *stemma codicum*. At the head, or root, of this tree is either a single surviving manuscript from which all others descend, or, more commonly, a lost copy, which can be reconstructed on the basis of the surviving witnesses. This hypothetical ancestor is called the 'archetype', and should not be, but frequently is, confused with the 'original', to which it may obviously be at some remove. Some textual critics, particularly in earlier times, choose to emend a non-authentic or corrupt archetype through conjecture, or divination (*divinatio*), as it is called, in order to get closer to the original.

Although the stemmatic method is all very neat and its logic nothing short of majestic, it has a number of shortcomings, the most significant being that it hardly ever works with real textual traditions, since it assumes, among other things, that no two scribes will ever independently make the same mistake, which they frequently do, that they will always work from a single exemplar, which they frequently do not, and that most scribes will tend to reproduce their exemplars exactly, which they almost never do, at least in the case of vernacular literature. And, indeed, criticism of the method has chiefly come from medievalists working in vernacular traditions, most notably the French scholar Joseph Bédier (1864–1938), who rejected the claims of stemmatic analysis to scientific objectivity and advocated an editorial policy which involved choosing a single 'best text' and reprodu-

³ The third edition of Maas's work was published in 1957 and translated into English the following year. It was first published as part III of Gericke and Norden (1927).

cing it conservatively, that is, with as little emendation as possible (only in cases of obvious scribal error). Something not wholly different from the genealogical method could be used to identify families of related manuscripts, but, according to Bédier, one should refrain from attempting to postulate the existence – and reconstruct the texts – of lost manuscripts (Bédier 1928). Although initially criticised by many, Bédier’s ‘best-text’ method⁴ has the advantage of reducing damage to the text through subjective editorial emendation (by editors, who, Bédier alleged, tended to see themselves as collaborators with the author), and presenting the reader with, if not *the* text, then at least a text which had actually existed.

The ‘New’ Philology

The principal innovation in the area of editorial theory in recent years has been the so-called ‘new’ or ‘material’ philology, the call to arms for which was the publication in 1990 of a special issue of *Speculum: A Journal of Medieval Studies* edited by the Romance philologist Stephen Nichols of Johns Hopkins University.⁵ The immediate inspiration for this ‘new’ philology came from Bernard Cerquiglini’s polemical essay *Éloge de la variante* (1989), which marked a clear turning point in the history of medieval textual studies by arguing that instability (*variance*) is a fundamental feature of chirographically transmitted literature: variation is what the medieval text is ‘about’. The following may be said to be among the key principles of ‘new’ or ‘material’ philology:

- Literary works do not exist independently of their material embodiments, and the physical form of the text is an integral part of its meaning; one needs therefore to look at ‘the whole book’, and the relationships between the text and such features as form and layout, illumination, rubrics and other paratextual features, and, not least, the surrounding texts.

4 Bédier’s term *codex optimus* is perhaps better rendered ‘best manuscript’, which is what Odd Einar Haugen calls it (1995: 82 and in his essay in this volume).

5 See in particular Nichols’s introductory essay (1990); other important works are Nichols (1994) and (1997).

- These physical objects come into being through a series of processes in which a (potentially large) number of people are involved; and they come into being at particular times, in particular places and for particular purposes, all of which are socially, economically and intellectually determined; these factors influence the form the text takes and are thus also part of its meaning.
- These physical objects continue to exist through time, and are disseminated and consumed in ways which are also socially, economically and intellectually determined, and of which they bear traces.

While the reaction among textual theorists to ‘new’ philology has on the whole been favourable, those involved in actual scholarly editing – not least within the field of Old Norse-Icelandic – have tended to be dismissive, though their criticisms have rarely found their way into print, being confined instead to the corridor and coffee room. The grounds for their censure of ‘new philology’ has generally either been that there is nothing ‘new’ in it, that it is even what ‘we’ have been doing all along,⁶ or that while it might be possible as an ancillary to ‘proper’ philology, and might be better suited to some types of texts than others, ‘new’ philology cannot possibly replace traditional philology since it is patently ridiculous to claim, for example, that some arbitrary eighteenth-century manuscript, with all its errors and corruption, is every bit as good as one demonstrably nearer to the original. To this latter objection all I can say is, well, quite. No-one, to my knowledge, has ever claimed that all manuscripts of a particular work were equally ‘good’; from a new- or material-philological perspective, on the other hand, one certainly can claim that all manuscripts of a given work are equally interesting (potentially at least), not for establishing the text, separating ‘good’ readings from ‘bad’ – which is not what

6 This is essentially the argument of a recent article by Sverrir Tómasson (2002); at p. 202, for example, he says that ‘margt af því sem par [sc. in the new philology] fram kemur á sér eldri rætur’ ('much of what appears there [sc. in the new philology] has older roots'), and later, at p. 213, he concludes: ‘Hin svokallaða nýja textafræði hvílir á gömlu textafræðinni, án þeirra rannsókna sem lúsiðnir fflólógar hafa gert um tveggja alda skeið væri nýja textafræðin ekki til.' ('The so-called new philology rests on old philology; were it not for the efforts of sedulous philologists over the last two centuries the new philology would not exist.'). For a general critique of precepts underlying the ‘new philology’ see Pickens (1994).

‘new’ philology seeks to do – but rather for what they can tell us about the processes of literary production, dissemination and reception to which they are witnesses.⁷ Nor am I aware that anyone has ever claimed that with the advent of ‘new’ philology there can no longer be any justification for practising ‘old’ philology. Most linguists would nowadays doubtless prefer to discuss the meaning of a word in terms of the way it is used by the actual speakers of the language in question, or a sub-group thereof, rather than by reference to its etymology – that is, from a synchronic rather than a diachronic perspective – but I am not aware that anyone has seriously suggested that historical linguistics may no longer be practised.

To the former of these accusations, that there is nothing new in the ‘new’ philology, it can only be said that, like any other movement, trend or school, the ‘new’ philology did not spring fully formed *ex nihilo*. One of its more obvious antecedents is Paul Zumthor’s *Essai du poétique médiévale* from 1972, which introduced the concept of *mouvance*, the ‘mobilité essentielle du texte médiéval’ (Zumthor 1972: 171, ‘the essential mobility of the medieval text’), without which Cerquiglini’s ideas would have been unthinkable. Another is to be found in developments within Anglo-American bibliography in the 1970s and early 1980s. These developments culminated, for some, in D.F. McKenzie’s 1985 Panizzi lectures, published the following year as *Bibliography and the Sociology of Texts*, which argued that since any history of the book must take into account ‘the social, economic and political motivations of publishing, the reasons why texts were written and read as they were, why they were rewritten and redesigned, or allowed to die’, it is ‘more useful’ to describe bibliography as ‘the study of the sociology of texts’; ‘sociology’ because it deals with ‘the human motives and interactions which texts involve at every stage of their production, transmission and consumption’ (McKenzie 1986: 5–7). Others might point to Jerome McGann’s *A Critique of Modern Textual Criticism* from 1983, which also proposed a sociological, rather than an intentionalist, approach, arguing that literary works ‘are fundamentally

7 Hans Walter Gabler (2005: 907b), points out that ‘Through the rekindled interest of the medievalists in a ‘material philology’, it has been brought to fresh attention, for instance, that it is often the exemplars disqualified under stemmatological premises as derivative, textually unreliable, and corrupt that, in the high variability of their texts, hold immediate information about the cultural life and afterlife of works.’

social rather than personal or psychological products' (McGann 1983: 43–4).⁸ Nor should we underestimate the influence of French (and French-inspired) work in *histoire du livre*,⁹ work in the German-speaking world on the history of transmission, *Überlieferungsgeschichte*,¹⁰ and the extensive work in orality and literacy on both sides of the Atlantic in the late 1970s and 1980s¹¹ – in fact pretty much everything that went on in literary and cultural studies from the late 1960s onwards, subsumed under the general heading ‘post-structuralism’, which, among other things, de-emphasised the importance of the author, focusing instead on the inevitably collaborative nature of literary production, dissemination and reception and the cultural, historical and ideological forces at work in these processes.

It is necessary, before trying to assess how new the new philology is, to distinguish between three basic concepts: the ‘work’, the ‘text’ and the ‘artefact’.¹² To take a simple example: *Hamlet* is a ‘work’. The New Swan Shakespeare Advanced Series edition of *Hamlet* by Bernard Lott, M. A. Ph.D., published by Longman in 1968, is, or presents, a ‘text’. My copy of Lott’s edition, bought from Blackwell’s in Oxford in 1979 and containing my copious annotations, is an ‘artefact’.¹³

The ‘work’, being an abstraction, is perhaps hardest to pin down. By ‘Hamlet, the work’ I mean simply the sum of all the Hamlets that have

8 Although coming out of similar intellectual traditions, McGann and McKenzie appear to have operated largely independently of each other (cf. Greetham 1999: 407). McGann first posited his idea of ‘bibliographical codes’ in a review of McKenzie’s book (McGann 1988, subsequently developed in McGann 1991), and says in a recent article that he sees his own work ‘as a critical pursuit of McKenzie’s ideas’ (McGann 2005: 226).

9 The seminal work here is Febvre and Martin (1958).

10 See, for example, Ruh (1985).

11 For example works like Ong (1982) and Goody (1987), to name only two.

12 I am not the first to make these distinctions, and other names are possible for the concepts; these are simply the ones I prefer. My chief inspiration is Shillingsburg (1996: 41–51) although Shillingsburg uses the term ‘document’ for what I prefer to call ‘artefact’.

13 While the distance between work, text and artefact is obviously not always so great as in the case of *Hamlet* – where, for example, a poem has been jotted down on the back of an envelope and then forgotten, so that there is only a single text, preserved in or on a single artefact, of that particular work – the distinction between the three is nevertheless real enough.

ever been, printed, staged, filmed or otherwise manifested.¹⁴ Those of the intentionalist school, on the other hand, would argue that ‘Hamlet, the work’ is whatever Shakespeare originally intended *Hamlet* to be, ‘what Shakespeare wrote’. Yet in the case of Shakespeare, and many, many other writers, it is frequently impossible to establish what the author’s original intention might have been, or indeed whether the author had a single original intention. *King Lear*, for example, famously exists in two quite distinct versions, both apparently equally ‘authentic’. And what of works for which there is no author, or where the notion of authorship is highly problematic, for example those originally oral in nature: what did ‘Homer’ intend the *Odyssey* to be?

The ‘text’ may be defined as a series of words in a particular order, which seems straightforward enough. It is, however, very much a coin with two distinct sides. W.W. Greg famously divided the text into ‘substantives’, which ‘affect the author’s meaning or the essence of his expression’, on the one hand, and ‘accidentals’, mainly presentational features such as spelling, punctuation, word division *et cetera*, on the other (1950–1: 21). The ‘accidentals’, the words on the page (or screen) in front of us, we might refer to as the ‘real text’, and the other, the ‘substantives’, as the ‘ideal text’, its gaze fixed firmly upwards, toward the ‘work’.

The ‘artefact’ would seem to be the least problematic of the three, as any text-bearing object is, by its nature, unique. This is self-evidently true of manuscripts, slightly less self-evidently so of early printed books (since no two copies are ever exactly the same). But in the age of mass reproduction is it really possible to claim that every copy of a text is a unique artefact? Anyone who has, for example, read a book previously annotated, even slightly, by another reader (or even by oneself at some remove), or encountered a copy of a book in an unlikely place (*Hamlet* in an airport kiosk) will, I think, agree that it certainly can be. And what of electronic texts? Are bits on disks and pixels on screens not as ‘material’

¹⁴ Zumthor defined the ‘oeuvre’ as ‘l’unité complexe [...] que constitue la collectivité des versions en manifestant la matérialité; la synthèse des signes employés par les “auteurs” successifs (chanteurs, récitants, copistes) et de la littéralité des textes’ (1972: 73, ‘the complex unity constituted by the collectivity of its material versions; the synthesis of the signs employed by the successive “authors” (singers, reciters, copyists) and of the literality of the texts’).

as ink on paper? Is a digital document really ‘the same’ when accessed on two different computers?

The focus of traditional textual criticism has always been the ‘work’, of which one can, through the rigorous interrogation of the extant witnesses, be afforded a glimpse. In so far as traditional textual criticism recognises artefacts at all, it has tended to despise them. The ‘best-text’ edition presents just that, a ‘text’, a series of words in a particular order, without trying to say too much about the ‘work’ – although there is obviously some value inherent in the word ‘best’, and some significance in the fact that ‘text’ is in the singular. But the interest has been firmly on the ‘substantives’, the upper side of the textual coin, rather than the ‘accidentals’. In ‘new’ philology, however, the focus is entirely on the lower, the artefactual, side, on the interplay between the text and the text-bearing artefact, the way in which the ‘bibliographic codes’ affect – are part of – the text’s meaning, just as much as its lexical content. And it is here, in this shift in orientation, that the ‘new’ in the ‘new’ philology is to be found.

Jón Helgason and the ‘Arnamagnæan School’

In his recent article ‘Er nýja textafræðin ný?’, Sverrir Tómasson says ‘saga norrænnar textafræði er því miður enn ósögð’ (2002: 200, ‘the history of Old Norse textual criticism sadly remains untold’). It is not my intention here, any more than it was his there, to write that history. I should, however, like at least to look at the history of Old Norse textual criticism in the light of the suggestion that what ‘we’, which I take to mean scholars working in the Arnamagnæan tradition, have been doing all along is essentially ‘new’ philology, a suggestion which, in view of the distinction between work, text and artefact just presented, is something of an overstatement at best.

Scholarly editions of Old Norse texts began to appear under the auspices of the Arnamagnæan Commission in 1773 with the publication of *Kristni saga*, but by ‘the Arnamagnæan tradition’ I mean in particular the publications in the two series inaugurated by Jón Helgason (1899–1986), professor of Old Norse at the University of Copenhagen from 1929 to 1969. Jón, who was secretary of the Arnamagnæan Commission from 1927 and

a full member from 1936, began in 1941 a series of scholarly monographs under the title *Bibliotheca Arnamagnæana* and a new series of critical editions of Old Norse texts, *Editiones Arnamagnæanæ*, ten years later (although the first volume of *Byskupasögur*, published in 1938 and containing Jón's edition of *Hungrvaka*, is to all intents and purposes to be regarded as part of the series). Although Jón was himself responsible for only a handful of these editions (the second volume of *Byskupasögur*, published in 1978, and the eight volumes of *Íslenzk fornkvæði*, published between 1962 and 1981), he was involved, directly or indirectly, in all of them – even from beyond the grave: the most recent volume of *Editiones* to appear, *Egils saga Skallagrímssonar III*, edited by Michael Chesnutt (2006), is, as stated on the title page, ‘efter forarbejder af Jón Helgason’ ('based on preliminary work by Jón Helgason'). Jón's influence is also manifest in the series of editions and monographs published from 1972 onwards by the Arnamagnæan Institute in Reykjavík, most of the original members of staff of which had studied in Copenhagen under Jón. Members of the older generation of Old Norse textual scholars in other countries have also generally spent lengthy periods under Jón's tutelage as well, while the younger generation has in turn been tutored largely by them. So while Jón himself, having been that sort of person, would doubtless have been quick to deny it, there is therefore a discernible ‘Helgasonian school’ which has dominated Old Norse textual-critical practice from the middle of the twentieth century onwards.¹⁵

It is a school without a manifesto, however, in that Jón Helgason never produced any kind of guidelines to editorial practice or engaged in any theoretical or methodological discussion of its precepts. In a conference paper from 1985 Helle Jensen, who edited *Eiríks saga víðförla* for *Editiones*

¹⁵ Cf. Jakob Benediktsson: ‘Med sine udgaver fra 1930erne og senere skabte han en helt ny standard for udgivelsen af norrøne tekster som siden er blevet et mønster for andre udgivere på dette område.’ (1980: 208, ‘With his editions from the 1930s and later he set a whole new standard for the editing of Old Norse texts, which has since become a model for other editors in this area.’). See also Jonna Louis-Jensen: ‘hans udgiverpraksis har dannet skole, således at den bl. a. følges i alle tekstkritiske udgaver, der udsendes af de to arnamagnæanske institutter i København og Reykjavík’ (1986: 28, ‘his editorial practice has established a school, one which is followed in, among others, all the textual-critical editions published by the two Arnamagnæan institutes in Reykjavík and Copenhagen’).

Arnamagnæanæ, describes with some humour how she had been introduced – or rather not – to textual-critical practice by Jón Helgason, who told her all she had to do was ‘bare lige se på alle håndskrifterne og finde ud af, hvordan de var skrevet af efter hinanden’ (Jensen 1985: 500, ‘just have a look at all the manuscripts and find out how they were copied from each other’). Following Jón’s advice and looking at other Arnamagnæan editions, she said, she was able to deduce the methods employed, and it was not until much later that it occurred to her that theoretical discussion of the precepts of textual criticism must exist.¹⁶ Four years later she returned to this theme in an excellent article in *Forskningsprofiler*: ‘For det er en ejendommelighed ved nordisk filologi i almindelighed og norrøn filologi i særdeleshed, at der har været meget lidt explicit teoretiseren omkring disse emner’ (Jensen 1989: 211, ‘For it is a curious fact that in connection with Nordic philology in general and Old Norse philology in particular there has been very little explicit theorising of these matters’).

One assumes this reluctance to theorise about editorial practice was because Jón, who had, or professed to have, an antipathy to most things, regarded it as something self-evident, common sense, simply what one did with texts. One can, as Helle Jensen did, read ‘what one did with texts’ out of Jón’s own editorial work and out of the editions published under his auspices. From Jón himself the only thing approaching a statement of principles, apart from a few remarks in the book *Handritaspjall* (1958, especially 106–10) was a paper entitled ‘Om udgivelser af islandske tekster’ (‘On the editing of Icelandic texts’) given at a seminar, *Synspunkter på tekstudgivelse*, held in 1979, when Jón received an honorary doctorate from the University of Copenhagen. The full text of this has unfortunately – though perhaps not surprisingly – never been published, but there is a

¹⁶ The introduction to textual criticism received by the present writer when a graduate student in Reykjavík was equally brief, consisting in fact of only two words: *sameiginlegar villur* (‘shared errors’).

summary in English in the *Arnamagnæan Bulletin* for 1977–9 (14–5).¹⁷ The central part of this summary is as follows:

The essential foundation for all close study of a text is a critical edition. One can demand of an edition that it presents, as far as is possible, an investigation of the whole manuscript tradition. The numerous young copies of older works must be examined because there is always the possibility that they derive from sources other than the surviving medieval texts. The result of such an examination is often that the younger copies prove to have no independent value, but this must nonetheless be demonstrated. The editor's aim must be to present as concisely as possible everything that the manuscripts themselves can tell us about a particular work's oldest form (that is to say, the oldest form we can establish[,] which is not necessarily the original mould), while also giving an account of the work's history through the centuries.

Jón appears to have decided what it was one did with texts fairly early on. Among the papers in the Commission's archives there is a 'Plan til en ny udgave af Fornaldarsögur Nordrlanda' from 1939 (Driscoll 2009). This plan was unfortunately never realised owing to the outbreak of the war, but the proposal, which although unsigned may be assumed chiefly to have been Jón Helgason's work, includes the following:

Hele Haandskriftsmaterialet undersøges. Den oprindeligste Tekst søges fastslaaet. Hvis en Saga foreligger i flere forskellige Redaktioner, aftrykkes de hver for sig. Hvor der foreligger mindre Afgivelser mellem Haandskrifter, som har

¹⁷ Several of the articles in the book *Tekstkritisk teori og praksis* (Fidjestøl et al. 1988) attempt to provide some methodological background, in particular those by Jensen, Ólafur Halldórsson and Stefán Karlsson. Jonna Louis-Jensen gave a paper at a seminar in 1999 in honour of Stefán Karlsson (who had been awarded an honorary doctorate by Copenhagen University) on 'Jón Helgason og den københavnske udgivertradition'; like Jón Helgason's contribution from 1979, this too has never appeared in print, but an English summary can be found on p. 16 of the *Bulletin* for 1998–9. See also Louis-Jensen (1986: 28).

tekstkritisk Betydning, optages de i et Variantapparat. I Indledningen skal Overleverings-historien saavidt muligt udredes, ogsaa med Benyttelse af Afskrifter, som ikke har tekstkritisk Værd.¹⁸

In an article from 1950 on a planned new edition of the corpus of skaldic poetry, Jón states that ‘Der er en række krav, der er saa velkendte, at der næppe er grund til at opholde sig ved dem’ (1950a: 130, ‘there are a number of requirements which are so well known that there is hardly reason to dwell on them’). He does mention one specifically, however: ‘redegørelser for haandskrifternes forhold til hverandre’ (‘an explication of the manuscripts’ relationship to each other’), which was, he adds, ‘et emne som overhovedet ikke blev berørt i den gamle udgave’ (‘a matter which was not at all touched upon in the old edition’). ‘Den gamle udgave’ is *Den Norsk-Islandske Skjaldedigtning* (1912–5) by Finnur Jónsson (1858–1934), professor of Old Norse Philology at the University of Copenhagen from 1898 (*ekstraordinær*; he became an ‘ordinary’ professor in 1911) to 1928. In fact, Jón Helgason’s textual-critical programme could be seen in many ways as a reaction to that of his predecessor. Finnur was without doubt one of the most prolific text editors of all time, producing over a fifty-year period editions of a huge number of works, often more than one. Without him, many of these works would have remained (and in some case would still remain) unavailable to the scholarly community, so we can only be grateful for his industry, but there are very few of his editions, in particular the later ones, that could not have been better. What Jón objected to in particular was Finnur’s tendency to dismiss younger manuscripts, often without having actually examined them, as ‘uden nogen som helst selvstændig verdi’ (Finnur Jónsson 1886–8: xxix, ‘entirely without independent value’), manuscripts which subsequent scholars, not infrequently Jón himself, have occasionally found to be very valuable indeed.

¹⁸ All the extant manuscripts will be investigated and the most original text identified. If a saga exists in more than one redaction these will be printed separately. Where there are minor variations between manuscripts with textual-critical value these will be included in an apparatus. In the introduction the history of [the text’s] transmission will be clarified as far as possible, also including copies which have no textual-critical value.’

(Jón Helgason 1934a: 150).¹⁹ Jón's insistence that the entire manuscript tradition be investigated was simply a way of ensuring that one did not overlook manuscripts with textual-critical value, as Finnur had done. This does not make him a new philologist *avant la lettre*. As he made clear in the passage cited above, the job of the editor should be to investigate the manuscripts in order to see what they 'can tell us about a particular work's oldest form', not what they can tell us about themselves.²⁰

Desmond Slay's edition of *Hrólfs saga kraka* from 1960 can be taken as a typical example of an Arnamagnæan edition.²¹ Of the thirty-eight manuscripts of the saga known to him at the time, Slay eliminates all but twelve as 'without authority for establishing the text of the saga' (1960b: 4).

- 19 Interestingly, Finnur Jónsson's textual-critical programme seems to have been a reaction to that of his predecessor: Konráð Gíslason. As he expressed it in his autobiography: 'Við útgáfur af sögum hef jeg fylgt þeirri reglu að fylgja sem næst einu og þá því elsta og besta, en aðeins leiðrjetta það eftir öðrum handritum, þar sem þau voru til; en að blanda saman textunum og búa til úr þeim aðaltexta, hef jeg álið alveg rángt. En það gerði Konráð í Njáluútgáfu sinni. Hann tók þessa setningu úr einu handriti og aðra úr hinu, og þóttist þar með geta fengið frumtextann. En þetta er hinn mesti mis-skilningur; með hans aðferð kom fram texti, sem aldrei hefur til verið.' (Finnur Jónsson 1936: 171, 'In editing sagas I have as a rule generally followed one [sc. manuscript], the oldest and best, and only emended it [sc. the text] following other manuscripts where [or: in so far as] they existed, but to mix texts together and make from them a main text I have always considered to be quite wrong. But this is what Konráð did in his edition of *Njála*. He took this sentence from one manuscript and that [sentence] from another and thought that in this way he could get the original, but this is a great misunderstanding; with his method a text was produced which had never [previously] existed'). Konráð's *aðferð* was basically taking readings freely from a number of manuscripts, principally Móðruvallabók and some of the older fragments, but also isolated readings from much younger manuscripts, chiefly on the basis of his feeling for Icelandic prose style, with no real account taken of the relationship between the manuscripts. There is a story, doubtless apocryphal, that Konráð lay on a sofa wearing a Turkish fez and smoking a long pipe while his amanuensis read him out the variants, Konráð then choosing the one he thought sounded best.
- 20 Jón Helgason was certainly not unaware of, or uninterested in, the non-textual aspects of books, as evidenced by his various facsimile editions: *Corpus codicum Islandicorum VI* (1934b), XV (1942a) and XIX (1950b), *Manuscripta Islandica I–VII* (1954–66) and *Early Icelandic manuscripts in fascimile* (1958–); he also edited two volumes, IV (1936) and VI (1942b), in the series *Monumenta typographica Islandica*.
- 21 Slay's edition (1960a) was published as vol. 1 of *Editiones Arnamagnæanæ, Series B*, while the accompanying investigation of the manuscript tradition was presented in a separate volume in *Bibliotheca Arnamagnæana* (Slay 1960b).

These twelve were not all of equal value, however, and so he was able to confine his attention to five ‘for practical purposes in textual reconstruction’. ‘Almost any one of these’, he says, ‘could be used as the basis for an edition’, but he chose AM 285 4to, while acknowledging that there was ‘no decisive reason’ for doing so. There is very little emendation of the text, apart from obvious mistakes in spelling and grammar and where the text as it stands makes no apparent sense. At the foot of the page there are full variant readings from the other primary manuscripts. By comparing these variants to the main text, Slay says in the introduction, ‘it is possible to make out the common original of all the manuscripts with considerable certainty’ (Slay 1960a: x–i). This is, in other words, essentially a ‘best-text’ edition, the best text having been arrived at through the application of the stemmatic method – the best of both worlds, as it were, in which the editor assembles all the evidence necessary to reconstruct the archetype but without actually doing so. As Odd Einar Haugen has pointed out, the Arnamagnæan edition is thus in some ways a curious hybrid, one in which ‘the spirit of Lachmann reigns in the recension, the spirit of Bédier in the text constitution’ (Haugen 2002: 10; cf. Haugen 1994 and his essay in this volume).

Although the textual basis for Arnamagnæan-type editions varies somewhat – from single unique manuscripts, representing a particular kings’ saga compilation, for example, to ‘best texts’, generally with but occasionally without variant apparatus, to multiple texts, either presented in parallel (that is, two or more texts per page) or sequentially (one after the other or in separate volumes) – all are essentially of this same basic type. Despite the insistence on an investigation of the entire manuscript tradition, the underlying assumption remains the same: that what the editor is trying to do is to separate readings which are likely to be original from those which are not, ‘good’ readings from ‘bad’. ‘Secondary’ manuscripts, that is, those demonstrably derived from others still extant, or manuscripts containing demonstrably ‘corrupt’ texts, are still dismissed as ‘without value’. And even though the texts presented are based on single manuscripts, little or no attention is paid to the physical artefacts themselves or the processes through which they have come into being. The focus is still on ‘the text’ in an abstract sense, and the search essentially still is one for origins.

So while the majority of Old Norse-Icelandic text editions produced in the last seventy-five years or so have arguably focused more on the ‘text’ than the ‘work’, none, so far as I can see, with the exception of Aðalheiður Guðmundsdóttir’s *Úlfhams saga* (2001),²² has taken the artefacts themselves, the social, economic and intellectual factors of their production, dissemination and reception, sufficiently into account to be called ‘new philological’.

Everything But the Smell: Toward a More Artefactual Philology

Although the publication of the special edition of *Speculum* in 1990 constituted, as was said, a ‘call to arms’, the battle for the new philology has never really been fought – nor has it needed to be, as the ideas put forward in it were very much ‘in the air’, and few would now question the notion that ‘the text’ cannot be divorced from the physical form of its presentation. For the most part, however, we continue to edit texts as though it could. What ought the new- or material-philologically-inclined editor to do? To start with, an editor ought to consider producing editions of demonstrably ‘corrupt’, yet sociologically and historically interesting, texts, including younger reworkings of older material and works hitherto dismissed as ‘spurious’. There should also be a greater emphasis on the editing of whole manuscripts, including compilations, miscellanies and anthologies, despite their perceived lack of aesthetic order, rather than of individual works taken out of context. First and foremost, however, he or she must demonstrate an awareness of the manuscript as a cultural artefact which – among other things – serves as a vehicle for a text. The most obvious way to do this is by striving to retain as many features of the original, and introduce as little interpretation, as possible, thus allowing the reader to appreciate the interplay between form and meaning. I am not talking here about what E. Talbot Donaldson referred to as the editor’s ‘wish for

²² See the discussion in Glauser et al. (2002: 243–99). Other editions which have been identified, incorrectly in my view, as (proto-)new-philological include the Rit Árnastofnunar edition of *Elucidarius* (Firchow and Grimstad 1989), according to Wolf (1993: 339), and the Svart á hvítu editions of *Íslendingasögur* (Bragi Halldórsson and Bergljót Kristjánsdóttir 1985–6) and *Sturlunga* (Bergljót Kristjánsdóttir et al. 1988), according to Sverrir Tómasson (2002: 202, note 5).

non-existence' (Donaldson 1970: 105).²³ To such 'level-zero' transcriptions various levels of interpretation can, and indeed must, be added if an edition is going to be of any use to the reader. But it should always be clear what is actually written in the source, as distinct from however the editor has decided this is to be interpreted; wherever one is, one must always be able to get back to 'level zero'. Fortunately, there is now a means of doing precisely this: electronic texts using XML mark-up.²⁴

Children learning mathematics at school are required to 'show their workings'; they should not, in other words, simply produce a (correct) result but also show the process by which this result was arrived at. Showing one's workings seems to me to be something one should also be required to do as an editor. It should be made clear any time there is any form of interpretation. And by interpretation I mean not just corrections or emendations to the text, but also relatively straightforward things such as the expansion of abbreviations. One chooses spellings and letter-forms used in expansions on the basis of the normal practice of the scribe in question, but one cannot ever be absolutely certain that that is what the scribe would have written if he had chosen to write the word out in full. And surely it is significant that the scribe did not choose to write out the word in full: the (in our eyes) extensive use of abbreviations is so fundamental a part of the process of manuscript writing and reading that I wonder whether we should be expanding them at all.

In the 1990s, when textual scholars became aware of the possibilities of producing electronic text editions, it was thought that such editions would replace traditional paper-based editions, even as CDs were then replacing vinyl, DVDs video and so on.²⁵ Some were even so bold as to pronounce the imminent death of the printed book. Not only has this not happened, it seems the book has never been as viable a medium as it is today. As far as

23 Greetham (1994: 296) cites Donaldson as referring to this as the 'editorial death-wish'; while more poetic than 'wish for non-existence', this is unfortunately not what Donaldson actually says.

24 I refer here in particular to the work of the Text Encoding Initiative (TEI); see www.tei-c.org.

25 The literature on electronic scholarly editing is extensive. Shillingsburg (1996) is particularly to be recommended, as is Shillingsburg (2006). Several recent articles by Peter Robinson deal in particular with what has, and what has not, been achieved in this area; see in particular Robinson (2004) and Robinson (2005).

scholarly editions are concerned, the failure of the electronic edition ever really to take off is due to a large extent, I have come to believe, to the inability of textual scholars to see, and embrace, the real potential of digital media, as doing so would inevitably involve relinquishing the more-or-less total control textual scholars have tended to want to maintain over the way in which ‘their’ texts are presented. The majority of the electronic texts produced in the last decade and a half have thus been static and read-only, essentially trying to reproduce the printed text on the screen. At the same time we have seen the rise of the interactive web, not least the phenomenon of the wiki and social networking services such as MySpace and Facebook – what has collectively been termed ‘Web 2.0’. So rather than mere electronic versions of printed texts what we ought possibly to be thinking of are interactive text archives, where the user determines to a much greater extent the nature and scope of the content and how that content is presented. I hasten to add that I am not suggesting that we relax our scholarly rigour or compromise our philological principles, only that we recognise that people may want to use our texts in ways other than those we ourselves have envisaged. Zumthor, Cerquiglini and the ‘new’ philologists have all argued that textual instability (*variance, mouvance, ‘unfixedness’*) is so fundamental a feature of chirographically transmitted texts that rather than trying to bring order to this chaos we should celebrate it. Here, finally, we have a means of doing so.

Bibliography (General)

- Abram, Christopher. 2001. ‘Scribal Authority in Skaldic Verse: Þórbjörn hornklofi’s *Glymrápa*’. *Arkiv för nordisk filologi* 116: 5–19.
- Aðalheiður Guðmundsdóttir, ed. 2001. *Úlfhams saga*. Rit Árnastofnunar 53. Reykjavík: Stofnun Árna Magnússonar.
- Allen, Ralph J., trans. 1936. *The Saga of Gisli, Son of Sour*. New York: Harcourt Brace.
- Almqvist, Bo. 1978–9. ‘Scandinavian and Celtic Folklore Contacts in the Earldom of Orkney’. *Saga-Book of the Viking Society* 20: 80–105.
- Andersson, Theodore M. 1964. *The Problem of Icelandic Saga Origins: A Historical Survey*. New Haven and London: Yale University Press.
- Andersson, Theodore M. 1968. ‘Some Ambiguities in *Gísla saga*: A Balance Sheet’. *Bibliography of Old Norse-Icelandic Studies*: 7–42.
- Andersson, Theodore M. 2006. *The Growth of the Medieval Icelandic Sagas (1180–1280)*. Ithaca: Cornell University Press.
- Arnold, Matthew. 1880. ‘General Introduction’. In *The English Poets*. 4 vols. Ed. Thomas Humphrey Ward, I: 17–47. London: Macmillan.
- Águst Guðmundsson, director. 1981. *Útlaginn*. Reykjavík: Námsgagnastofnun, fræðslumyndadeild.
- Ármann Jakobsson. 2004. ‘Some Types of Ambiguities in the Sagas of the Icelanders’. *Arkiv för nordisk filologi* 119: 37–53.
- Árni Böðvarsson. 1951. ‘Þáttur um málfræðistörf Eggerts Ólafssonar’. *Skírnir* 125: 156–72.
- Árni Böðvarsson and Bjarni Vilhjálmsson, eds. 1954. *Inntak úr söguþætti af Ásmundi flagðagæfi*. In *Íslenzkar þjóðsögur og ævintýri*. Vol. I: 163–71. [2nd revised ed. of Jón Árnason 1862]. Reykjavík: Þjóðsaga.
- Baird, Joseph L. 1970. ‘Unferth the pyle’. *Medium Ævum* 39: 1–12.
- Barmby, Beatrice. 1900. *Gísli Súrsson: A Drama. Ballads and Poems of the Old Norse Days and Some Translations* [with a Preface by F. York Powell]. London: Constable.
- Barmby, Beatrice, trans. Matthías Jochumsson. 1902. *Gísli Súrsson. Sjónleikur: einnig nokkur kvæði*. Akureyri: [no publisher] [translation into Icelandic of Barmby 1900].

Bibliography

- Bartholin, Thomas. 1689. *Antiquitatum Danicarum de causis contemptae a Danis adhuc gentilibus mortis [Libri tres: ex vetustis codicibus et monumentis hactenus ineditis congesti]*. Copenhagen: Bockenhoffer.
- Bédier, Joseph, ed. 1890. [Jean Renart] *Le Lai de l'Ombre*. Freiburg: [no publisher].
- Bédier, Joseph. 1928. ‘La tradition manuscrite du *Lai de l'Ombre*. Réflexions sur l'art d'éditer les anciens textes’. *Romania* 54: 161–96; 321–56.
- Bekker-Nielsen, Hans and Ole Widding, trans. Robert W. Mattila. 1971. *Arne Magnusson*. Odense: Odense University Press [first published 1963]. *Arne Magnusson. Den store håndskriftsamler, i 300-året for hans fødsel*. Copenhagen: Gad].
- Berger, Alan J. 1979. ‘Text and Sex in *Gísla saga*’. *Gripla* 3: 163–8.
- Bergljót S. Kristjánsdóttir. 1990. “Hvorki er eg fjölkunnig né ví�indakona ...” Um konur og kveðskap í *Sturlungu*. *Skáldskaparmál* 1: 241–54.
- Bergljót S. Kristjánsdóttir. 2001. ‘Hinn seki túlkandi. Um tákna, túlun og sekt í styrti gerð *Gísla sögu Súrssonar*’. *Gripla* 12: 7–22.
- Bergljót S. Kristjánsdóttir. 2005. ‘Íslenskur torfbær eða sveitasetur? Um morðsöguna *Gísla saga Súrssonar*’. *Gripla* 15: 153–73.
- Bergljót S. Kristjánsdóttir, Örnólfur Thorsson, Bragi Halldórsson, Gísli Sigurðsson, Guðrún Ása Grímsdóttir, Guðrún Ingólfssdóttir and Jón Torfason, eds. 1988. *Sturlunga saga: Árna saga biskups. Hrafns saga Sveinbjarnarsonar hin sérstaka*. 3 vols. Reykjavík: Svart á hvítu.
- Berman, Melissa. 1985. ‘The Political Sagas’. *Scandinavian Studies* 57: 113–29.
- Bjarni Aðalbjarnarson, ed. 1941–51. *Snorri Sturluson. Heimskringla*. 3 vols. Reykjavík: Hið íslenzka fornritafélag.
- Bjarni Einarsson, ed. 2001. *Egils saga Skallagrímssonar*. Vol. I, A-Redaktionen. Editiones Arnamagnæanæ A 19. Copenhagen: Reitzel.
- Bjarni Einarsson [with Anthony Faulkes], ed. 2003. *Egils saga*. London: Viking Society for Northern Research.
- Bjarni Guðnason, ed. 1982. *Danakonunga sögur*. Íslenzk fornrit 35. Reykjavík: Hið íslenzka fornritafélag.
- Bjarni Þorsteinsson, Gísli Brynjúlfsson, Sigurður Thorarensen, Sveinbjörn Egilsson and Þórarinn Öfjörð, eds. 1817–20. *Sturlúngasaga edr Islendíngasaga hin mikla*. 2 vols. Copenhagen: Hið íslenzka bókmennatafélag.
- Bjork, Robert E. 1980. ‘Unferth in the Hermeneutic Circle: A Reappraisal of James L. Rosier’s “Design for Treachery: The Unferth Intrigue”’. *Papers on Language and Literature* 16: 133–41.

Bibliography

- Björn M. Ólsen, ed. 1884. *Den tredje og fjærde grammatiske Afhandling i Snorres Edda tilligemed de grammatiske Afhandlings Prolog og to andre Tillæg.* Islands grammatiske litteratur i middelalderen 12. Samfund til udgivelse af gammel nordisk litteratur 12. Copenhagen: Møller.
- Björn M. Ólsen. 1902. ‘Um Sturlungu’. *Safn til sögu Íslands og íslenzk bókmennta að fornu og nýju* 3: 193–510.
- Björn K. Þórólfsson and Guðni Jónsson, eds. 1943. *Vestfirðinga sögur.* Íslenzk fornrit 6. Reykjavík: Hið íslenzka fornritafélag.
- Björn Þorsteinsson and Guðrún Ása Grímsdóttir. 1989. ‘Norska öldin’. In *Saga Íslands: samin að tilhlutan Þjóðhátiðarnefndar 1974*. Vol. 4. Ed. Sigurður Líndal, 61–260. Reykjavík: Hið íslenzka bókmenntafélag/Sögufélag.
- Bloch, R. Howard. 1998. ‘Modest Maidens and Modified Nouns: Obscenity in the Fabliaux’. In *Obscenity: Social Control and Artistic Creation in the European Middle Ages*. Ed. Jan M. Ziolkowski, 293–307. Cultures, Beliefs and Traditions 4. Leiden: Brill.
- Boberg, Inger M. 1966. *Motif-Index of Early Icelandic Literature*. Bibliotheca Arnamagnæana 27. Copenhagen: Munksgaard.
- Boulhosa, Patricia. 2005. *Icelanders and the Kings of Norway: Mediæval Sagas and Legal Texts*. Northern World 17. Leiden: Brill.
- Bowers, Fredson. 1950. ‘Current theories of Copy-Text with an Illustration from Dryden’. *Modern Philology* 68: 12–20.
- Bowers, Fredson. 1970. “Greg’s rationale of Copy-Text” Revisited’. *Studies in Bibliography* 31: 90–161.
- Boyle, Leonard E. 1976. ‘Optimist and Recensionist: “Common Errors” or “Common Variations”?’. In *Latin Script and Letters A.D. 400–900: Festschrift Presented to Ludwig Bieler on the Occasion of his Seventieth Birthday*. Ed. John J. O’Meara and Bernd Naumann, 264–74. Leiden: Brill.
- Bragi Halldórsson and Bergljót S. Kristjánsdóttir, eds. 1985–6. *Íslendinga sögur og þættir*. 3 vols. Reykjavík: Svart á hvítu.
- Brate, Erik and Elias Wessén, eds. 1924–36. *Sveriges runinskrifter*. Vol. 3, *Södermanlands runinskrifter*. Stockholm: Norstedt.
- Bredsdorff, Thomas, trans. John Tucker. 2001. *Chaos and Love: The Philosophy of the Icelandic Family Sagas*. Copenhagen: Museum Tusculanum Press [translation of 1971. *Kaos og kærlighed. En studie i islændingesagaers livsbillede*. Copenhagen: Gyldendal].
- Brenner, Oscar, ed. 1881. *Speculum regale. Ein altnorwegischer Dialog nach Cod. Arnamagn. 243 fol. B und den ältesten Fragmenten*. Munich: Kaiser.

Bibliography

- O'Brien O'Keeffe, Katherine. 1990. *Visible Song. Transitional Literacy in Old English Verse*. Cambridge Studies in Anglo-Saxon England 4. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Brink, Stefan. 1996. 'Political and Social Structures in Early Scandinavia. A Settlement-historical Pre-study of the Central Place'. *Tor. Journal of Archaeology* 28: 215–81.
- Brink, Stefan. 1997. 'Political and Social Structures in Early Scandinavia 2. Aspects of Space and Territoriality – The Settlement District'. *Tor. Journal of Archaeology* 29: 389–437.
- Bruhn, Ole. 1999. *Tekstualisering. Et bidrag til en litterær antropologi*. Århus: Århus Universitetsforlag.
- Bruun, Christian. 1898. *Peter Frederik Suhm. 18. oktober 1728–7. september 1798: En levnetsbeskrivelse*. Copenhagen: Gad.
- Campbell, Kathryn. 1986. 'Structure in the *Gísla*: Undinna þættir'. *Neophilologus* 70: 239–47.
- Carlquist, Jonas. 2002. *Handskriften som historiskt vittne: fornsvenska samlingshandskrifter – miljö och funktion*. Runica & Mediævalia. Opuscula 6. Stockholm: Sällskapet Runica et Mediævalia.
- Cerquiglini, Bernard. 1989. *Éloge de la variante. Histoire critique de la philology*. Paris: Seuil.
- Cerquiglini, Bernard, trans. Betsy Wing. 1999. *In Praise of the Variant. A Critical History of Philology*. Baltimore and London: John Hopkins University Press [translation of Cerquiglini 1989].
- Chase, Colin, ed. 1981. *The Dating of Beowulf*. Toronto Old English Series 6. Toronto and London: University of Toronto Press, in association with the Centre for Medieval Studies, University of Toronto.
- Chesnutt, Michael. 1968. 'An Unsolved Problem in Old Norse-Icelandic Literary History [with an appendix: Norse-Celtic Bibliographical Survey]'. *Mediaeval Scandinavia* 1: 122–37.
- Chesnutt, Michael. 1981. 'Haralds saga Maddaðarsonar'. In *Speculum Norroenum. Norse Studies in Memory of Gabriel Turville-Petre*. Ed. Ursula Dronke, Guðrún P. Helgadóttir, Gerd Wolfgang Weber and Hans Bekker-Nielsen, 33–55. Odense: Odense University Press.
- Chesnutt, Michael. 2005a. 'Tekstkritiske bemærkninger til C-redaktionen af Egils saga'. *Opuscula* 12: 228–62. *Bibliotheca Arnamagnæana* 44. Copenhagen: Reitzel.

Bibliography

- Chesnutt, Michael, trans. 2005b. ‘Observations on Some Manuscripts of *Egilssaga*’. *Opuscula* 12: 3–47. *Bibliotheca Arnamagnæana* 44. Copenhagen: Reitzel [translation of Jón Helgason 1956b].
- Chesnutt, Michael, ed. 2006. *Egilssaga Skallagrímssonar*. Vol. III, *C-Redaktion*. *Editiones Arnamagnæanae A* 21. Copenhagen: Reitzel.
- Clark, David. 2007. ‘Revisiting *Gísla saga*: Sexual Themes and the Heroic Past’. *Journal of English and Germanic Philology* 106: 492–515.
- Clover, Carol. 1977. ‘Gísli’s Coin’. *Bibliography of Old Norse-Icelandic Studies*: 7–37.
- Clover, Carol. 1980. ‘The Germanic Context of the Unferð Episode’. *Speculum* 55: 444–68.
- Clover, Carol. 1985. “‘Cold are the Counsels of Women’: The Tradition Behind the Tradition”. In *The Sixth International Saga Conference 28.7.–2.8.1985, Workshop Papers*. 2 vols. I: 151–75. Copenhagen: Det arnamagnæanske Institut.
- Clunies Ross, Margaret. 1998. *Prolonged Echoes. Old Norse Myths in Medieval Northern Society*. Vol. 2, *The Reception of Norse Myths in Medieval Iceland*. The Viking Collection 10. Odense: Odense University Press.
- Clunies Ross, Margaret. 2004. ‘*Hófuðlausn* and *Egilssaga*’. *Notes and Queries* 51: 114–8.
- Clunies Ross, Margaret. 2005a. ‘A Tale of Two Poets: Egill Skallagrímsson and Einarr skálaglamm’. *Arkiv för nordisk filologi* 120: 69–82.
- Clunies Ross, Margaret. 2005b. *A History of Old Norse Poetry and Poetics*. Woodbridge: Brewer.
- Clunies Ross, Margaret. 2006. ‘Poet into Myth: Starkaðr and Bragi’. *Viking and Medieval Scandinavia* 2: 31–44.
- Clunies Ross, Margaret, ed. 2007. *Skaldic Poetry of the Scandinavian Middle Ages*. Vol. 7, *Poetry On Christian Subjects*. 2 vols. Turnhout: Brepols.
- Clunies Ross, Margaret, Kari Ellen Gade, Guðrún Nordal, Edith Marold, Diana Whaley and Tarrin Wills, eds. 2007–. *Skaldic Poetry of the Scandinavian Middle Ages*. 9 vols. Turnhout: Brepols.
- Coffey, Jerome. 1989. ‘The *drunnur*: a Faroese Wedding Custom’. *Arv* 45: 7–16.
- Dahlerup, Verner, ed. 1880. *Ágrip af Noregs konunga sögum*. Samfundet til udgivelse af gammel nordisk litteratur 2. Copenhagen: Møller.
- Damico, Helen. 2000. ‘Note on Imbólar: *Orkneyingasaga*’s Port in the Aegean’. In *De consolation philologiae: Studies in Honor of Evelyn S. Firchow*. 2 vols. Ed. Anna Grotans, Heinrich Beck and Anton Schwab, I: 1–15. Göppingen: Kümmerle.

Bibliography

- Danielsson, Tommy. 2008. ‘On the Possibility of an Oral Background for *Gísla saga Súrssonar*’. In *Oral Art Forms and their Passage into Writing*. Ed. Else Mundal and Jonas Wellendorf, 23–35. Copenhagen: Museum Tusculanum Press.
- Dasent, George Webbe, trans. 1866. *The Story of Gisli the Outlaw*. Edinburgh: Edmonston and Douglas.
- Degnbol, Helle, Bent Christian Jacobsen, James E. Knirk, Eva Rode, Christopher Sanders and Þorbjörg Helgadóttir, eds. 1989–. *Ordbog over det norrøne prosasprog. A Dictionary of Old Norse Prose*. Copenhagen: Den arnamagnæanske kommission.
- Dicke, Gerd. 2002. ‘Mären-Priapeia. Deutungsgehalte des Obszönen im Nonnenturnier und seinen europäischen Motivverwandten’. *Beiträge zur Geschichte der deutschen Sprache und Literatur* 124: 261–301.
- Didi-Huberman, Georges. 1998. ‘The Portrait, the Individual and the Singular: Remarks on the Legacy of Aby Warburg’. In *The Image of the Individual: Portraits in the Renaissance*. Ed. Nicholas Mann and Luke Syson, 165–85. London: British Museum Press.
- Doane, A. N. and Carol Braun Pasternack, eds. 1991. *Vox Intexta: Orality and Textuality in the Middle Ages*. Madison: University of Wisconsin Press.
- Donaldson, E. Talbot. 1970. ‘The Psychology of Editors of Middle English Texts’. In his *Speaking of Chaucer*, 102–18. London: Athlone.
- O’Donoghue, Heather. 2005. *Skaldic Verse and the Poetics of Saga Narrative*. Oxford and New York: Oxford University Press.
- Driscoll, Matthew, ed. and trans. 1995. *Ágrip af Nóregskonungasögum. A Twelfth-century Synoptic History of the Kings of Norway*. Viking Society for Northern Research Text Series 10. London: Viking Society for Northern Research.
- Driscoll, Matthew. 1997a. *The Unwashed Children of Eve: The Production, Dissemination and Reception of Popular Literature in Post-Reformation Iceland*. Enfield Lock: Hisarlik Press.
- Driscoll, Matthew. 1997b. ‘The Oral, the Written, and the In-between: Textual Instability in the Post-Reformation *Lygisaga*’. In *Medieval Insular Literature between the Oral and the Written*. Vol. 2, *Continuity of Transmission*. Ed. Hildegard L. C. Tristram, 193–220. Script Oralia 97. Tübingen: Narr.
- Driscoll, Matthew, ed. 2006. *Fjórar sögur frá hendi Jóns Oddssonar Hjaltalín*. Rit Árnastofnunar 66. Reykjavík: Stofnun Árna Magnússonar.
- Driscoll, Matthew. 2009. ‘Plans for a New Edition of the *Fornaldarsögur, Anno 1937*’. In *Myter og virkelighed i fornaldarsagaerne*. Ed. Agneta Ney,

Bibliography

- Árman Jakobsson and Annette Lassen, 17–25. Copenhagen: Museum Tusculanum Press.
- Dronke, Ursula, ed. 1997. *The Poetic Edda [with Translation, Introduction, and Commentary]*. Vol. 2, *Mythological Poems*. Oxford: Clarendon.
- Einar Ól. Sveinsson, ed. 1939. *Vatnsdœla saga*. Íslenzk fornrit 8. Reykjavík: Hið íslenzka fornritafélag.
- Einar Ól. Sveinsson, ed. 1954. *Brennu-Njáls saga*. Íslenzk fornrit 12. Reykjavík: Hið íslenzka fornritafélag.
- Einar Ól. Sveinsson and Matthías Þórðarson, eds. 1935. *Eyrbyggja saga. Grænlendinga sǫgur*. Íslenzk fornrit 4. Reykjavík: Hið íslenzka fornritafélag.
- Eiríkur Björnsson. 1976. ‘Enn um vígið Vésteins’. *Andvari* 18: 114–7.
- Eiríkur Jónsson and Finnur Jónsson, eds. 1892–6. *Hauksbók udgiven efter de arnamagnæanske håndskrifter no. 371, 544 og 675. 4to samt forskellige papirshåndskrifter af Det kongelige nordiske oldskrift-selskab*. Det kongelige nordiske oldskrift-selskab. Copenhagen: Thiele.
- Evans, David A. H., ed. 1986. *Hávamál*. Viking Society for Northern Research Text Series 7. London: Viking Society for Northern Research.
- Faulkes, Anthony. 1964. ‘The Sources of Specimen lexici runici’. *Íslenzk tunga* 5: 30–138.
- Faulkes, Anthony, ed. 1998. *Snorri Sturluson. Edda. Skáldskaparmál*. 2 vols. London: Viking Society for Northern Research.
- Faulkes, Anthony, ed. 1999. *Snorri Sturluson. Edda. Háttatal*. London: Viking Society for Northern Research [first published 1991. Oxford: Clarendon Press].
- Faulkes, Anthony, trans. 2004. *The Saga of Grettir*. In his *Three Icelandic Outlaw Sagas*, 69–264. London: Viking Society for Northern Research [first published 2001. London: Dent].
- FRBR = Functional Requirements for Bibliographic Records. 1998. Final Report. International Federation of Library Associations and Institutions (IFLA) [www.ifla.org/VII/s13/frbr/frbr.pdf].
- Febvre, Lucien and Henri-Jean Martin. 1958. *L'Apparition du livre. L'évolution de l'humanité, Synthèse collective, Deuxième section 49*. Paris: Michel.
- Fidjestøl, Bjarne, Odd Einar Haugen and Magnus Rindal. 1988. *Tekstkritisk teori og praksis: nordisk symposium i tekstkritikk, Godøysund 19.–22. mai 1987*. Oslo: Novus.

Bibliography

- Finch, R.G., ed. and trans. 1965. *Völsunga saga. The Saga of the Volsungs*. Icelandic Texts. London: Nelson.
- Finlay, Alison. 1995. ‘Skalds, Troubadours and Sagas’. *Saga-Book of the Viking Society* 24: 105–53.
- Finnbogi Guðmundsson, ed. 1965. *Orkneyinga saga*. Íslenzk fornrit 34. Reykjavík: Hið íslenzka fornritafélag.
- Finnur Jónsson, ed. 1886–8. *Egils saga Skallagrímssonar tilligemed Egils större kvad*. Samfund til udgivelse af gammel nordisk litteratur 17. Copenhagen: Møller.
- Finnur Jónsson, ed. 1893–1901. *Snorri Sturluson. Heimskringla. Nóregs konunga sögur*. Samfund til udgivelse af gammel nordisk litteratur 23. Copenhagen: Møller.
- Finnur Jónsson, ed. 1902–3. *Fagrskinna. Nóregs kononga tal*. Samfund til udgivelse af gammel nordisk Litteratur 30. Copenhagen: Møller.
- Finnur Jónsson, ed. 1903. *Gísla saga Súrssonar*. Altnordische Saga-Bibliothek 10. Halle: Niemeyer.
- Finnur Jónsson, ed. 1912–5. *Den norsk-islandske skjaldedigtning*, Vols. A.I–II, Tekst efter håndskrifterne. Vols. B.I–II, Rettet tekst. Copenhagen: Gyldental [Repr. 1967 (A) and 1973 (B)]. Copenhagen: Rosenkilde & Bagger].
- Finnur Jónsson, ed. 1916. *Eirspennill. AM 47fol. Nóregs konunga sögur, Magnús góði – Hákon gamli*. Kristiania: Norske Historiske Kildeskriftskommission.
- Finnur Jónsson, ed. 1920. *Konungs skuggsjá. Speculum regale*. [Udgivet efter håndskrifterne af Det kongelige nordiske oldskriftselskab]. 2 vols. Copenhagen: Gyldental.
- Finnur Jónsson. 1920–4. *Den oldnorske og oldislandske litteraturs historie*. 3 vols., 2nd ed. Copenhagen: Gad.
- Finnur Jónsson, ed. 1927. *Óláfr Þórðarson. Máhljóða- og Málskrúðsrit. Grammatisk-retorisk afhandling*. Det Kongelige Danske Videnskabernes Selskab, Historisk-filologiske meddelelser 13 ii. Copenhagen: Høst.
- Finnur Jónsson, ed. 1928–32. *Morkinskinna*. Samfund til udgivelse af gammel nordisk litteratur 53. Copenhagen: Jørgenson.
- Finnur Jónsson, ed. 1929. *Gísla saga Súrssonar udgiven efter håndskrifterne af Det kongelige nordiske oldskrift-selskab*. Copenhagen: Gyldental.
- Finnur Jónsson, ed. 1931. *Edda Snorra Sturlusonar udgiven efter håndskrifterne af Kommissionen for det Arnamagnæanske Legat*. Copenhagen: Gyldental.

Bibliography

- Finnur Jónsson. 1936. *Ævisaga Finns Jónssonar eftir sjálfan hann*. Safn Fræðafélagsins um Ísland og Íslendinga 10. Copenhagen: Møller.
- Finnur Jónsson and Jón Sigurðsson, eds. 1848–87. *Edda Snorra Sturlusonar: Edda Snorronis Sturlæi*. 3 vols. Copenhagen: Legatus Arnamagnæani.
- Finnur Sigmundsson. 1966. *Rímnatal*. 2 vols. Reykjavík: Rímnafélagið.
- Firchow, Evelyn Scherabon and Kaaren Grimstad, eds. 1989. *Elucidarius in Old Norse Translation*. Rit Árnastofnunar 36. Reykjavík: Stofnun Árna Magnússonar.
- Fix, Hans. 1997. ‘Text Editing in Old Norse: A Linguist’s Point of View’. *North-Western European Language Evolution (NOWELE)* 31: 105–17.
- Fleck, Jere. 1970. ‘Konr – Óttarr – Geirrøðr: A Knowledge Criterion for Succession to the Germanic Sacred Kingship’. *Scandinavian Studies* 42: 39–49.
- Foley, John Miles. 1995. *The Singer of Tales in Performance*. Bloomington and Indianapolis: Indiana University Press.
- Foley, John Miles. 1997. ‘Oral Tradition Into Textuality’. In *Texts and Textuality: Textual Instability, Theory, Interpretation, and Pedagogy*. Ed. Philip Cohen, 1–17. Wellesley Studies in Critical Theory, Literary History, and Culture 13. Garland Reference Library of the Humanities 1891. New York and London: Garland.
- Foote, Peter. 1975. ‘A Note on *Gísla saga Súrssonar*’. *Gardar* 6: 63–71.
- Frank, Roberta. 2004. *Sex, Lies and Málsháttakvæði: A Norse Poem from Medieval Orkney*. Occasional Papers of the Centre for the Study of the Viking Age 2. Nottingham: Centre for the Study of the Viking Age, University of Nottingham.
- Fraser, Lisa. 2005. ‘Royal Entertainment in Morkinskinna, Heimskringla, and Fagrskinna’. *Mediaeval Scandinavia* 15: 37–49.
- Frederiksen, Britta Olrik. 1991. ‘Det første stemma, dets videnskabshistoriske baggrund og skaber(e)’. In *The Audience of the Sagas. The Eighth International Saga Conference, Gothenburg 1991. Preprints*. 2 vols. Ed. Lars Lönnroth, I: 110–20. Gothenburg: Gothenburg University Press.
- Frederiksen, Britta Olrik. 1994. ‘Håndskrift og stamtræet’. In *I tekstens tegn*. Ed. Jørgen Hunosøe and Esther Kielberg, 33–64. Copenhagen: Reitzel.
- Frederiksen, Britta Olrik. 2003. ‘Under stregen – lidt om det eksterne variantapparati historisk perseptiv’. In *Varianter och bibliografisk beskrivning*. Ed. Pia Forsslund and Rainer Knapas, 13–78. Helsingfors: Svenska litteratursällskapet i Finland.

Bibliography

- Frederiksen, Britta Olrik. 2005. ‘Lachmannsk og gammeldansk – en mesalliance? Om den lachmannske metodes betydning for den nyere tids gammeldanske udgivelsespraksis’. *Maal og Minne*: 1–25.
- Gabler, Hans Walter. 2005. ‘Textual Criticism’. In *The John Hopkins Guide to Literary Theory and Criticism*. Ed. Michael Groden, Martin Kreiswirth and Imre Szeman, 901b–909a. 2nd ed. Baltimore: John Hopkins University Press.
- Gade, Kari Ellen, ed. 2009. *Skaldic Poetry of the Scandinavian Middle Ages*. Vol. 2, *Poetry from the Kings’ Sagas* 2. 2 vols. Turnhout: Brepols.
- Gatty, Nicholas Comyn. 1915. *Scena from the Opera ‘Greysteel’ for Soprano Solo, Chorus, and Orchestra, with Concert Ending*. London: Cary [subtitled ‘The Bearsarks Come to Surnadale’; libretto by Reginald Gatty].
- Gercke, Alfred and Eduard Norden, eds. 1927. *Einleitung in die Altertumswissenschaft*. Vol. I, 3rd ed. Leipzig: Teubner.
- Gíslí Sigurðsson. 1990. ‘On the Classification of Eddic Heroic Poetry in View of the Oral Theory’. In *Poetry in the Scandinavian Middle Ages*. Ed. Teresa Pàroli, 245–55. Spoleto: Presso la Sede del Centro Studi.
- Gíslí Sigurðsson, trans. Nicholas Jones. 2004. *The Medieval Icelandic Saga and Oral Tradition. A Discourse on Method*. Publications of the Milman Parry Collection of Oral Literature 2. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Gíslí Sigurðsson. 2005. ‘Orality and Literacy in the Sagas of Icelanders’. In *A Companion to Old Norse-Icelandic Literature and Culture*. Ed. Rory McTurk, 285–301. Blackwell Companions to Literature and Culture 31. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Glauser, Jürg. 1998. ‘Vom Autor zum Kompilator. Snorri Sturlusons Heimskringla und die nachklassischen Sagas von Olav Tryggvason’. In *Snorri Sturluson: Beiträge zu Werk und Rezeption*. Ed. Hans Fix, 34–43. Ergänzungsbände zum Reallexikon der germanischen Altertumskunde 18. Berlin: de Gruyter.
- Glauser, Jürg. 2000. ‘Marginalien des Sagatextes. Zu den Rändern von Texten in der mittelalterlichen Literatur Islands’. In *Den fornordiska texten i filologisk och litteraturvetenskaplig belysning: studier och diskussionsinlägg*. Ed. Kristinn Jóhannesson, Karl G. Johansson and Lars Lönnroth, 136–45. Gothenburg Old Norse studies 2. Gothenburg: Litteraturvetenskapliga Institutionen, Göteborgs Universitet.
- Glauser, Jürg, Svanhildur Óskarsdóttir and Aðalheiður Guðmundsdóttir. 2002. ‘Um Úlfhams sögu: Andmælaræður og svör’. *Gripala* 13: 243–99.

Bibliography

- Glendinning, Robert J. 1970. ‘*Grettis saga* and European Literature in the Late Middle Ages’. *Mosaic* 4: 49–61.
- Glendinning, Robert J. 1974. *Träume und Vorbedeutung in der Íslendingasaga Sturla Thordarsons. Eine Form- und Stiluntersuchung*. Kanadische Studien zur deutschen Sprache und Literatur 8. Bern and Frankfurt am Main: Herbert Lang.
- Goody, Jack. 1987. *The Interface Between the Written and the Oral. Studies in Literacy, Family, Culture, and the State*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Gordon, Ida L. 1949–50. ‘The Origins of *Gíslasaga*’. *Saga-Book of the Viking Society* 13: 183–205.
- Greetham, D.C. 1994. *Textual Scholarship: An Introduction*. Garland Reference Library of the Humanities 1417. New York: Garland.
- Greetham, D.C. 1999. *Theories of the Text*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Greg, W.W. 1950–1. ‘The Rationale of Copy-text’. *Studies in Bibliography* 3: 19–36.
- Grubmüller, Klaus. 2006. *Die Ordnung, der Witz und das Chaos. Eine Geschichte der europäischen Novellistik im Mittelalter: Fabliau, Märe, Novelle*. Tübingen: Niemeyer.
- Grønstøl, Sigrid Bø. 1979. ‘Kjærleik og ættekjensle i konflikt – Om kvinnesyn og helteideal i *Gisle-soga*’. *Edda* 79: 189–95.
- Guðbrandur Vigfússon. 1861. ‘Um nokkrar Íslendingasögur’. *Ný félagsrit*: 118–27.
- Guðbrandur Vigfússon, ed. 1878. *Sturlunga saga including the Íslendinga saga of Lawman Sturla Thordsson and other works*. 2 vols. Oxford: Clarendon.
- Guðbrandur Vigfússon, ed. 1887. *Icelandic Sagas and Other Historical Documents Relating to the Settlements and Descents of the Northmen on the British Isles*. Vol. 1, *Orkneyinga Saga and Magnus Saga*. Rerum Britannicarum Medii Ævi Scriptores 88. London: Her Majesty’s Stationery Office.
- Guðbrandur Vigfússon, Jón Sigurðsson, Þorvaldur Björnsson and Eiríkur Jónsson, eds. 1858–78. *Biskupa sögur*. 2 vols. Copenhagen: Hið íslenska bókmenntafélag.
- Guðbrandur Vigfússon and C.R. Unger, eds. 1860–8. *Flateyjarbok. En samling af norske konge-sagaer med indskudte mindre fortællinger om begivenheder i og udenfor Norge samt annaler*. 3 vols. Christiania: Malling.

Bibliography

- Guðbrandur Vigfússon and F. York Powell, eds. 1905. *Origines Islandicæ. A Collection of the More Important Sagas and Other Native Writings Relating to the Settlement and Early History of Iceland.* 2 vols. Oxford: Clarendon.
- Guðni Jónsson, ed. 1936. *Grettis saga Ásmundarsonar. Bandamanna saga. Odds þátr Ófeigssonar.* Íslenzk fornrit 7. Reykjavík: Hið íslenzka fornritafélag.
- Guðni Jónsson, ed. 1950. *Fornaldar sögur Norðurlanda.* 4 vols. Reykjavík: Íslendingasagnaútgáfan.
- Guðni Jónsson, ed. 1954a. *Bósa saga ok Herrauðs.* In his edition of *Fornaldar sögur Norðurlanda*, vol. III: 281–322. 2nd ed. Reykjavík: Íslendingasagnaútgáfan.
- Guðni Jónsson, ed. 1954b. *Geirmundar þátr heljarskinns.* In his edition of *Sturlunga saga*, vol. I: 1–10. 2nd ed. Reykjavík: Íslendingasagnaútgáfan; Haukadalsútgáfan.
- Guðni Jónsson, ed. 1959. *Hrólfss saga Gautrekssonar.* In his edition of *Fornaldar sögur norðurlanda*, vol. IV: 51–176. Reykjavík: Íslendingasagnaútgáfan.
- Guðni Kolbeinsson and Jónas Kristjánsson. 1979. ‘Gerðir Gíslasögu’. *Gripla* 3: 128–62.
- Guðrún Kvaran and Sigurður Jónsson. 1991. *Íslensk mannanöfn.* Reykjavík: Heimskringla.
- Guðrún Nordal. 1990. ‘Nú er hin skarpa skálmöld komin’. *Skáldskaparmál* 1: 211–25.
- Guðrún Nordal. 1998. *Ethics and Action in Thirteenth-Century Iceland.* The Viking Collection 11. Odense: Odense University Press.
- Guðrún Nordal. 2001. *Tools of Literacy. The Role of Skaldic Verse in Icelandic Textual Culture of the Twelfth and Thirteenth Centuries.* Toronto, Buffalo and London: University of Toronto Press.
- Guðrún Nordal. 2003a. ‘*Ars metrica* and the Composition of *Egils saga*’. In *Scandinavia and Christian Europe in the Middle Ages. Papers of the 12th International Saga Conference Bonn/Germany, 28th July–2nd August 2003.* Ed. Rudolf Simek and Judith Meurer, 179–86. Bonn: Universität Bonn.
- Guðrún Nordal. 2003b ‘Handrit, prentaðar bækur og pápísk kvaði á siðskiptaöld’. In *Til heiðurs og hugbótar: greinar um trúarkveðskap fyrri alda.* Ed. Svanhildur Óskarsdóttir and Anna Guðmundsdóttir, 131–44. Rit Snorrastofa 1. Reykholt: Snorrastofa.
- Guðrún Nordal. 2005. ‘Attraction of Opposites: Skaldic Verse in *Njáls saga*’. In *Literacy in Medieval and Early Modern Scandinavian Culture.* Ed. Pernille

Bibliography

- Hermann, 211–36. The Viking Collection 16. Odense: University Press of Southern Denmark.
- Guðrún Nordal, Sverrir Tómasson, and Vésteinn Ólason. 1992. *Íslensk bókmenntasaga* I. Reykjavík: Mál og menning.
- Guðvarður Már Gunnlaugsson. 2000. “Grettir vondum vættum, veitti hel og þreytti”: Grettir Ásmundarson og vinsældir *Grettis sögu*. *Gripla* 11: 37–78.
- Guðvarður Már Gunnlaugsson. 2003. ‘Stafrétt eða samræmt? Um fræðilegar útgáfur og notendur þeirra’. *Gripla* 14: 197–235.
- Gunnell, Terry. 1995. *The Origins of Drama in Scandinavia*. Cambridge: Brewer.
- Haki Antonsson. 2005. ‘St Magnús of Orkney: Aspects of his Cult from a European Perspective’. In *The World of Orkneyinga Saga. The ‘Broad-Cloth Viking Trip’*. Ed. Olwyn Owen, 14–29. Kirkwall: The Orcadian.
- Hálfdan Einarsson and Jón Eiríksson, eds. 1768. *Kongs-skugg-sio. [Utlögd a daunsku og latinu]*. Sorðe: Lindgren.
- Halldór Hermannsson. 1925. *Eggert Ólafsson: A Biographical Sketch*. Islandica 16. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Library.
- Halvorsen, E. F. 1977a. ‘Þulr’. In *Kulturhistorisk leksikon for nordisk middelalder fra vikingetid til reformationstid* 20: 402–3.
- Halvorsen, E. F. 1977b. ‘Þulur’. In *Kulturhistorisk leksikon for nordisk middelalder fra vikingetid til reformationstid* 20: 403–5.
- Hannes Finnsson, ed. 1773. *Kristni saga, sive Historia Religionis Christianæ in Islandiam introductæ; nec non þattr af Isleifi biskupi, sive Narratio de Isleifo episcopo; Ex Manuscriptis Legati Magnæani cum Interpretatione Latina, notis, Chronologia, Tabulis genealogicis, & Indicibus, tam rerum, quam Verborum*. Copenhagen: Legatus Arnamagnæani.
- Hardy, Adelaide. 1969. ‘The Christian Hero Beowulf and Unferð þyle’. *Neophilologus* 53: 55–69.
- Harris, Joseph. 1985. ‘Eddic Poetry’. In *Old Norse-Icelandic Literature. A Critical Guide*. Ed. Carol Clover and John Lindow, 68–156. Islandica 45. Ithaca: Cornell University Press.
- Harris, Joseph. 1996. ‘Obscure Styles (Old English and Old Norse) and the Enigma of *Gísla saga*’. *Mediaevalia* 19: 75–99.
- Harris, Richard. 2004. ‘Some Uses of the Apophthegmatic Scene in Old Icelandic Sagas’ [read at the 39th International Medieval Congress, Kalamazoo, May 2004; www.usask.ca/english/icelanders/applic_apophthegmatic.html].

Bibliography

- Harris, Richard. 2007. ‘“(Opt) eru köld kvenna ráð – A Critically Popular Old Icelandic Proverb and Its Uses in the *Íslendingasögur* and Elsewhere’ [read at a meeting of the Association for the Advancement of Scandinavian Studies in Canada, Saskatoon, 27th May 2007; www.usask.ca/english/icelanders/applic_kvennar%E1%Fo.html].
- Harvey, P.D.A. 2001. *Editing Historical Records*. London: The British Library.
- Hast, Sture, ed. 1960. *Harðar saga*. Editiones Arnamagnæanæ A 6. Copenhagen: Munksgaard.
- Hastrup, Kirsten. 1986. ‘Tracing Tradition: An Anthropological Perspective on *Grettis saga Ásmundarsonar*.’ In *Structure and Meaning in Old Norse Literature: New Approaches to Textual Analysis and Literary Criticism*. Ed. John Lindow, Lars Lönnroth and Gerd Wolfgang Weber, 281–313. The Viking Collection 3. Odense: Odense University Press.
- Hauck, Karl. 1970. *Goldbrakteaten aus Sievern. Spätantike Amulett-Bilder der Dania Saxonica und die Sachsen-‘Origo’ bei Widuking von Corvey. Mit Beiträgen von K. Düwel, H. Tiefenbach und H. Vierck*. Münstersche Mittelalterschriften 1. Munich: Fink.
- Haugen, Odd Einar. 1983. ‘Om tidsforholdet mellom *Stjórn* og *Barlaams ok Josaphats saga*’. *Maal og Minne* 1983: 18–28.
- Haugen, Odd Einar. 1991. ‘Barlaam og Josaphat i ny utgåve’. *Maal og Minne*: 1–24.
- Haugen, Odd Einar. 1994. ‘Utgjevning av norrøne tekster i Noreg: Eit historisk overblik og ei metodisk vurdering’. *Nordica Bergensia* 1: 137–74.
- Haugen, Odd Einar. 1995. ‘Constitutio textus. Intervasjonisme og konservativisme i utgjevinga av norrøne tekster’. *Nordica Bergensia* 7: 69–99.
- Haugen, Odd Einar. 2002. ‘The Spirit of Lachmann, the Spirit of Bédier’ [read at the annual meeting of The Viking Society, University College London, 8 November 2002; www.ub.uib.no/elpub/2003/a/522001/haugen.pdf].
- Haugen, Odd Einar. 2004. ‘Parallel Views: Multi-level Encoding of Medieval Nordic Primary Sources’. *Literary and Linguistic Computing* 19: 73–91.
- Helgi Guðmundsson. 1997. *Um haf innan. Vestrænir menn og íslenzk menning á miðöldum*. Reykjavík: Háskólaútgáfan.
- Helgi Þorláksson. 1977. ‘Urbaniseringstendenser på Island i middelalderen’. In *Urbaniseringssprosessen i Norden: Det XVII. nordiske historikermøte, Trondheim 1977*. Vol. 1, *Middelaldersteder*. Ed. Grethe Authén Blom, 161–88. Oslo: Universitetsforlaget.
- Hermann Pálsson, 1962. *Sagnaskemmtun Íslendinga*. Reykjavík: Mál og menning.

Bibliography

- Hermann Pálsson. 1972. ‘Næturvíg í *Gísla sögu*’. *Andvari* 14: 35–7.
- Hermann Pálsson. 1975. ‘Hver myrti Véstein í *Gísla sögu*?’ *Andvari* 17: 133–7.
- Hermann Pálsson and Paul Edwards, trans. 1978. *Orkneyinga saga: The History of the Earls of Orkney*. London: Hogarth.
- Hermann, Pernille, ed. 2005. *Literacy in Medieval and Early Modern Scandinavian Culture*. The Viking Collection 16. Odense: University Press of Southern Denmark.
- Heslop, Kate, ed. 2006. ‘*Grettisfærsla*: The Handing On of Grettir’. *Saga-Book of the Viking Society* 30: 65–94.
- Heusler, Andreas. 1903. ‘Die Geschichte vom Völsi. Eine altnordische Bekehrungsanekdote’. *Zeitschrift des Vereins für Volkskunde* 13: 24–39 [Repr. 1969. In his *Kleine Schriften*. 2 vols. Ed. Helga Reuschel and Stefan Sonderegger. Vol. 2: 372–87. Berlin: de Gruyter].
- Heusler, Andreas and Wilhelm Ranisch, eds. 1903. *Eddica minora: Dichtungen eddischer Art aus den Fornaldarsögur und anderen Prosawerken*. Dortmund: Ruhfus [Repr. 1974. Darmstadt: Wissenschaftliche Buchgesellschaft].
- Hewlett, Maurice. 1919. *The Outlaw*. New York: Dodd Mead.
- Hofmann, Dietrich. 1973. ‘Das Reimwort *giqr* in Egill Skallagrímssons *Hofuðlausn*’. *Mediaeval Scandinavia* 6: 93–101.
- Hollowell, Ida. 1976. ‘Unferð the *Pyle* in *Beowulf*’. *Studies in Philology* 73: 239–65.
- Holm, Gösta. 1972. ‘Carl Johan Schlyter and Textual Scholarship’. *Saga och sed*: 48–80.
- Holm-Olsen, Ludvig, ed. 1945. *Konungs skuggsiá*. Oslo: Kjeldeskriftfondet, Dybwad.
- Holm-Olsen, Ludvig. 1952. *Håndskriftene av Konungs skuggsjá. En undersøkelse av deres tekstkritiske verdi*. Bibliotheca Arnamagnæana 13. Copenhagen: Munksgaard.
- Holm-Olsen, Ludvig. 1974. ‘Middelalderens litteratur i Norge’. In *Fra runene til Norske Selskab*. Ed. Edvard Beyer, 15–342. Norges litteraturhistorie 1. Oslo: Cappelen.
- Holm-Olsen, Ludvig. 1981a. ‘The Prologue to *The King’s Mirror*: Did the Author of the Work Write It?’. In *Speculum Norroenum. Norse Studies in Memory of Gabriel Turville-Petre*. Ed. Ursula Dronke, Guðrún P. Helgadóttir, Gerd Wolfgang Weber and Hans Bekker-Nielsen, 223–41. Odense: Odense University Press.

Bibliography

- Holm-Olsen, Ludvig. 1981b. *Lys over norrøn kultur. Norrøne studier i Norge*. Oslo: Cappelen.
- Holm-Olsen, Ludvig, ed. 1983. *Konungs skuggsiá*. 2nd rev. ed. Oslo: Norsk historisk kjeldeskrift-institutt.
- Holm-Olsen, Ludvig. 1990. *Med fjærpenn og pergament. Vår skriftkultur i middelalderen*. Oslo: Cappelen.
- Holtsmark, Anne. 1937. ‘Bjarne Kolbeinsson og hans forfatterskap’. *Edda* 37: 1–17.
- Holtsmark, Anne. 1951. ‘Studies in the *Gísla Saga*’. *Studia Norvegica: Ethnologica et Folkloristica* 6: 3–55.
- Holtsmark, Anne. 1966. ‘Memorialdiktning’. In *Kulturhistorisk leksikon for nordisk middelalder fra vikingetid til reformationstid* 11: 524–5.
- Hughes, Shaun. 2005. ‘Late Secular Poetry’. In *A Companion to Old Norse-Icelandic Literature and Culture*. Ed. Rory McTurk, 205–22. Blackwell Companions to Literature and Culture 31. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Ísleifur Þorleifsson, ed. 1697. *Ketilli Hængii et Grimonis Hirsutigenæ patris et filii historia seu res gestæ*. Uppsala: [no publisher].
- Jackson, Elizabeth. 2000. ‘From the Seat of the þyle? A Reading of *Maxims I*, lines 138–40’. *Journal of English and Germanic Philology* 99: 170–92.
- Jacobsen, Lis and Erik Moltke, eds. 1942. *Danmarks Runeindskrifter*. Copenhagen: Munksgaard.
- Jakob Benediktsson. 1958. ‘Introduction’. In *Sturlunga saga. Manuscript No. 122 A fol. in the Arnamagnæan Collection*. Ed. Jakob Benediktsson, 7–18. Early Icelandic Manuscripts in Facsimile 1. Copenhagen: Rosenkilde & Bagger.
- Jakob Benediktsson, ed. 1968. *Íslendingabók. Landnámabók*. 2 vols. Íslenzk fornrit 1. Reykjavík: Hið íslenzka fornritafélag.
- Jakob Benediktsson. 1980. ‘Jón Helgason’. In *Dansk biografisk leksikon* 6: 208–9. Copenhagen: Gyldendal.
- Jakobsen, Alfred. 1982a. ‘Nytt lys over *Gísla saga Súrssonar*’. *Gripla* 5: 265–79.
- Jakobsen, Alfred. 1982b. ‘Noen merknader til *Gísla saga Súrssonar*’. *Gripla* 5: 280–8.
- Jensen, Helle. 1985. ‘*Eiríks saga víðförla*: Appendix 3’. In *The Sixth International Saga Conference 28.7.–2.8. 1985, Workshop Papers*. 2 vols. I: 499–512. Copenhagen: Det arnamagnæanske Institut.

Bibliography

- Jensen, Helle. 1988. ‘Profilering og standardisering af udgivelsespraksis’. In *Tekstkritisk teori og praksis: nordisk symposium i tekstkritikk, Godøysund 19.–22. mai 1987*. Ed. Bjarne Fidjestøl, Odd Einar Haugen and Magnus Rindal, 101–15. Oslo: Novus.
- Jensen, Helle. 1989. ‘Om udgivelse af vestnordiske tekster’. In *Forskningsprofiler. [Udgivet af Selskab for Nordisk Filologi]*. Ed. Bente Holmberg, Britta Olrik Frederiksen and Hanne Ruus, 208–20. Copenhagen: Gyldendal.
- Jesch, Judith. 1991. *Women in the Viking Age*. Woodbridge: Boydell.
- Jesch, Judith. 1992. ‘Narrating *Orkneyinga saga*’. *Scandinavian Studies* 64: 336–55.
- Jesch, Judith. 1993. ‘History in the “Political Sagas”’. *Medium Ævum* 62: 210–20.
- Jesch, Judith. 1996. ‘Presenting Traditions in *Orkneyinga saga*’. *Leeds Studies in English* 27: 69–86.
- Jesch, Judith. 2001. ‘Skaldic Verse in Scandinavian England’. In *Vikings and the Danelaw. Select Papers from the Proceedings of the Thirteenth Viking Congress, Nottingham and York 21–30 August 1997*. Ed. James Graham-Campbell, Richard Hall, Judith Jesch and David N. Parsons, 313–25. Oxford: Oxbow.
- Jesch, Judith, ed. 2009. *Rognvaldr jarl Kali, Lausavísur*. In *Skaldic Poetry of the Scandinavian Middle Ages*. Vol. 2, *Poetry from the Kings’ Sagas* 2. Ed. Kari Ellen Gade, 575–609. Turnhout: Brepols.
- Jiriczek, O.L., ed. 1893. *Die Bósa-Saga in zwei Fassungen. Nebst Proben aus den Bósa-Rímur*. Strassburg: Trübner.
- Jochens, Jenny. 1996. *Old Norse Images of Women*. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press.
- Johansson, Karl Gunnar. 1997. *Studier i Codex Wormianus. Skrifttradition och avskriftsverksamhet vid ett isländskt skriptorium under 1300-talet*. Nordistica Gothoburgensis 20. Gothenburg: Acta Universitatis Gothoburgensis.
- Johansson, Karl Gunnar. 1998. ‘*Rígsþula* och Codex Wormianus: Textens funktion ur ett kompilationsperspektiv’. *alvíssmál* 8: 67–84.
- Johansson, Karl Gunnar. 2005. ‘On Orality and the Verschriftlichung of *Skírnismál*’. In *Literacy in Medieval and Early Modern Scandinavian Culture*. Ed. Pernille Hermann, 167–86. The Viking Collection 16. Odense: University Press of Southern Denmark.

Bibliography

- Johnsen, Oscar Albert, ed. 1922. *Olafs saga hins helga. Efter pergamenthaandskrift i Uppsala universitetsbibliotek, Delagardieske samling nr. 8 II.* Norske Historiske Kildeskriftkommission. Kristiania: Dybwad.
- Johnsen, Oscar Albert and Jón Helgason, eds. 1941. *Saga Óláfs konungs hins helga. Den store saga om Olav den hellige. Efter pergamenthåndskrift i Kungliga biblioteket i Stockholm nr. 2 4to med varianter fra andre håndskrifter.* Norsk historisk Kjeldeskript-institutt. 2 vols. Oslo: Dybwad.
- Johnston, George, trans. 1963. *The Saga of Gisli.* Toronto: Dent.
- Jón Árnason, ed. 1862. *Íslenzkar þjóðsögur og æfintýri.* 2 vols. Leipzig: Hinrichs [revised edition of Árni Böðvarsson and Bjarni Vilhjálmsson, 1954–61].
- Jón Helgason, ed. 1924. *Hervarar saga ok Heiðreks konungs.* Samfund til udgivelse af gammel nordisk litteratur 48. Copenhagen: Jørgensen.
- Jón Helgason. 1928. *Hrappseyjarprentsmiðja 1773–1794.* Safn Fræðafélagsins um Ísland og Íslendinga 6. Copenhagen: Hið íslenska Fræðafélag.
- Jón Helgason. 1931–2. ‘Til Skjaldedigtningen’. *Acta Philologica Scandinavica* 6: 55–62, 195–8.
- Jón Helgason. 1934a. ‘Finnur Jónsson’. *Aarbøger for nordisk Oldkyndighed og Historie*: 137–60.
- Jón Helgason, ed. 1934b. *Morkinskinna. Ms. no. 1009 in the Old Royal Collection of the Royal Library, Copenhagen.* Corpus codicum Islandicorum medii aevi 6. Copenhagen: Levin & Munksgaard.
- Jón Helgason, ed. 1936. [Antonius Corvinus] *Passio 1559.* Monumenta typographica Islandica 4. Copenhagen: Munksgaard.
- Jón Helgason, ed. 1938. *Hungrvaka.* In his edition of *Byskupa sögur.* Vol 1: 72–115. Det Kongelige Nordiske Oldskriftselskab. Copenhagen: Munksgaard.
- Jón Helgason, ed. 1942a. *Óláfs saga ens helga. Ms. Perg. 4to No. 2 in the Royal Library of Stockholm.* Corpus codicum Islandicorum medii aevi 15. Copenhagen: Levin & Munksgaard.
- Jón Helgason, ed. 1942b. *Gronlandia, 1688.* Monumenta typographica Islandica 6. Copenhagen: Munksgaard.
- Jón Helgason. 1950a. ‘Planer om en ny udgave af skjaldedigtningen’. *Acta Philologica Scandinavica* 19: 130–2.
- Jón Helgason, ed. 1950b. *Byskupa sögur. Ms. Perg. fol. No. 5 in the Royal Library of Stockholm.* Corpus codicum Islandicorum medii aevi 19. Copenhagen: Levin & Munksgaard.

Bibliography

- Jón Helgason, ed. 1950c. *Hrafnkels saga Freysgoða*. Nordisk Filologi. Tekster og lærebøger til universitetsbrug A 2. Copenhagen: Munksgaard.
- Jón Helgason, ed. 1954–66. *Manuscripta Islandica*. 7 vols. Copenhagen: Munksgaard.
- Jón Helgason, ed. 1956a. *Håndskriften AM 445c, I, 4to. Brudstykker af Víga-Glúms saga og Gísla saga Súrssonar*. Samfund til udgivelse af gammel nordisk litteratur 66. Copenhagen: Jørgensen.
- Jón Helgason, 1956b. ‘Athuganir um nokkur handrit Egils sögu’. In *Nordæla. Afmæliskveðja til prófessors, dr. phil. & litt. & jur. Sigurðar Nordals ambassadors Íslands í Kaupmannahöfn, sjötugs 14. september 1956*. Ed. Halldór Halldórsson et al., 110–48. Reykjavík: Helgafell [see also Chesnutt 2005b].
- Jón Helgason. 1958a. *Handritaspjall*. Reykjavík: Mál og menning.
- Jón Helgason, ed. 1958b–. *Early Icelandic Manuscripts in Facsimile*. Copenhagen: Rosenkilde & Bagger.
- Jón Helgason, ed. 1962–81. *Íslenzk fornkvæði. Islandske folkevise*. 8 vols. Editiones Arnamagnæanæ B 10–7. Copenhagen: Munksgaard.
- Jón Helgason. 1969. ‘Höfuðlausnarhjal’. In *Einarsbók. Afmæliskveðja til Einars Ól. Sveinssonar 12. desember 1969*. Ed. Bjarni Guðnason, Halldór Halldórsson and Jónas Kristjánsson, 156–76. Reykjavík: Nokkrir Vinir.
- Jón Helgason, ed. 1978. *Byskupa sögur*. Vol. 2. Editiones Arnamagnæanæ A 13. Copenhagen: Reitzel.
- Jón Helgason. 1979. ‘Om udgivelser af islandske tekster’. [Paper delivered at a seminar entitled ‘Synspunkter på tekstdugivelse’, held on the occasion of Jón’s promotion to honorary doctor, University of Copenhagen. Summary in 1977–9. *The Arnamagnæan Institute and Dictionary Bulletin* 12: 14–51].
- Jón Helgason. 1981. ‘Salfastar sveigar’. In *Speculum Norroenum. Norse Studies in Memory of Gabriel Turville-Petre*. Ed. Ursula Dronke, Guðrún P. Helgadóttir, Gerd Wolfgang Weber and Hans Bekker-Nielsen, 185–7. Odense: Odense University Press.
- Jón Hnefill Aðalsteinsson. 1999a. *Kristnitakan á Íslandi*. 2nd ed. Reykjavík: Háskólaútgáfan. [revised by Jakob S. Jónsson].
- Jón Hnefill Aðalsteinsson, trans. Terry Gunnell. 1999b. *Under the Cloak: A Pagan Ritual Turning Point in the Conversion of Iceland*. Reykjavík: Háskólaútgáfan, Félagsvísindastofnun [Translation of Jón Hnefill Aðalsteinsson 1999a].

Bibliography

- Jón Jóhannesson. 1946. ‘Um Sturlunga sögu’. In *Sturlunga saga*. 2 vols. Ed. Jón Jóhannesson, Magnús Finn bogason and Kristján Eldjárn. Vol. I: vii–lvi. Reykjavík: Sturlunguútgáfan.
- Jón Jóhannesson, trans. Haraldur Bessason. 1974. *A History of the Old Icelandic Commonwealth. Íslendinga saga*. University of Manitoba Icelandic Studies 2. Winnipeg: University of Manitoba Press.
- Jón Jóhannesson, Kristján Eldjárn, and Magnús Finn bogason, eds. 1946. *Sturlunga saga*. 2 vols. Reykjavík: Sturlunguútgáfan.
- Jón Karl Helgason. 1999. *The Rewriting of Njáls saga: Translation, Ideology, and Icelandic Sagas*. Topics in Translation 16. Clevedon: Multilingual Matters.
- Jón Karl Helgason. 2001. *Höfundar Njálu: Praðir úr vestrænni bókmenntasögu*. Reykjavík: Heimskringla.
- Jón Karl Helgason. 2005. ‘Continuity? The Icelandic Sagas in Post-Medieval Times’. In *A Companion to Old Norse-Icelandic Literature and Culture*. Ed. Rory McTurk, 64–81. Blackwell Companions to Literature and Culture 31. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Jón Samsonarson. 1964. *Kvæði og dansleikir*. 2 vols. Reykjavík: Almenna bókafélagið.
- Jón Sigurðsson and Finnur Jónsson, eds. 1848–87. *Edda Snorra Sturlusonar. Edda Snorronis Sturlæi*. 3 vols. Copenhagen: Legatus Arnamagnæani [repr. 1966. Osnabrück: Otto Zeller].
- Jón Pørkelsson. 1888. *Om Digtningen på Island i det 15. og 16. århundrede*. Rittdómur úr Stimmen 36. Copenhagen: Høst.
- Jónas Kristjánsson, ed. 1956. *Eyfirðinga sqgur*. Íslenzk fornrit 9. Reykjavík: Hið íslenzka fornritafélag.
- Karras, Ruth Mazo. 2003. ‘Marriage and the Creation of Kin in the Sagas’. *Scandinavian Studies* 75: 473–90.
- Kedar, Benjamin Z. and Christian Westergård-Nielsen. 1978–9 [1982]. ‘Icelanders in the Crusader Kingdom of Jerusalem: A Twelfth-century Account’. *Mediaeval Scandinavia* 11: 193–211.
- Kenney, E.J. 1974. *The Classical Text. Aspects of Editing in the Age of the Printed Book*. Sather Classical Lectures 44. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Kenney, E.J. 1985. ‘Textual Criticism’. In *Encyclopædia Britannica*. Vol. 20: 614–20. 15th ed. Chicago: Encyclopædia Britannica [www.britannica.com/eb/article-9108631].

Bibliography

- Keyser, Rudolf, Peter Andreas Munch and Carl Richard Unger, eds. 1848. *Speculum regale: Konungs-skuggsjá: Kongespeilet. Et philosophisk-didaktisk skrift, forfattet i Norge mod slutningen af det 12. aarhundrede. Tilligemed et samtidigt skrift om den norske kirkes stilling til staten.* Christiania: Det akademiske Collegium ved det kgl. norske Frederiks-Universitet.
- Keyser, Rudolf and Carl Richard Unger, eds. 1851. *Barlaams ok Josaphats saga.* [En religiøs romantisk fortælling om Baarlam og Josaphat oprindelig forfattet paa græsk i det 8de aarhundrede, senere oversat paa latin, og herfra igjen i fri bearbeidelse ved aar 1200 overført paa norsk af Kong Haakon Sverreson]. Christiania: Feilberg & Landmark.
- Kjartan G. Ottósson. 1990. *Íslensk málhreinsun. Sögulegt yfirlit.* Rit Íslenskrar málnefndar 6. Reykjavík: Íslensk málnefnd.
- Klaeber, Friedrich, ed. 1950. *Beowulf and the Fight at Finnsburg.* 3rd ed. Boston: Heath.
- Klemming, G.E., ed. 1848–53. *Svenska medeltidens bibel-arbeten efter gamla handskrifter.* 2 vols. Samlingar utgivna av Svenska fornskriftsällskapet 11, 16, 19–20, 24, 26. Stockholm: Norstedt.
- Konráð Gíslason, ed. 1849. *Tvær sögur af Gísla Súrssyni.* Nordiske oldskrifter 8. Copenhagen: Det nordiske literatur-samfund/Berling.
- Kousgård Sørensen, J. 1955. ‘Tul-, tol- in Danish place-names’. *Acta Philologica Scandinavica* 23: 97–116.
- Kramarz-Bein, Susanne. 2000. ‘Der Spesar þátr der *Grettis saga*. Tristan-Spuren in der Isländersaga’. In *Studien zur Isländersaga. Festschrift für Rolf Heller.* Ed. H. Beck and E. Ebel, 152–81. Ergänzungsbände zum Reallexikon der Germanischen Altertumskunde 24. Berlin: de Gruyter.
- Kristinn Jóhannesson, Karl G. Johansson and Lars Lönnroth, eds. 2000. *Den fornnordiska texten i filologisk och litteraturvetenskaplig belysning. Studier och diskussionsinlägg.* Gothenburg Old Norse Studies 2. Gothenburg: Litteraturvetenskapliga Institutionen, Göteborgs Universitet.
- Kristján Eldjárn. 1956. *Kuml og haugfé úr heiðnum sið á Íslandi.* [Akureyri]: Norðri.
- Kroesen, Riti. 1982. ‘The Enmity between Þorgrímr and Vésteinn in the *Gísla saga Súrssonar*’. *Neophilologus* 66: 386–90.
- Kroesen, Riti. 1993. ‘*Gísla saga Súrssonar*’. In *Medieval Scandinavia: An Encyclopedia.* Ed. Phillip Pulsiano et al., 227–8. Garland Encyclopedias of the Middle Ages 1. New York and London: Garland.

Bibliography

- Kulturhistorisk leksikon for nordisk middelalder fra vikingetid til reformationstid.* Ed. J. Danstrup et al. 1956–78. 22 vols. Copenhagen: Rosenkilde & Bagge.
- Kwakkel, Erik. 2002. ‘Towards a Terminology for the Analysis of Composite Manuscripts’. *Gazette du livre médiéval* 41: 12–9.
- Kålund, Kristian, ed. 1889–94. *Katalog over den Arnamagnæanske håndskriftsamling*. [Udgivet af Kommissionen for det Arnamagnæanske legat]. 2 vols. Copenhagen: Gyldendal.
- Kålund, Kristian. 1901. ‘Om håndskrifterne af Sturlunga saga og dennes enkelte bestanddele’. *Aarbøger for nordisk oldkyndighed og historie*: 259–300.
- Kålund, Kristian, ed. 1906–11. *Sturlunga saga efter membranen Króksfjarðarbók udfyldt efter Reykjafjarðarbók*. 2 vols. Det Kongelige Nordiske Oldskrift-Selskab. Copenhagen: Gyldendal.
- Kålund, Kristian, ed. 1916. *Arne Magnussons brevveksling med Torfæus (Pormóður Torfason)* på Carlsbergfondets bekostning. Copenhagen: Gyldendal.
- Lethbridge, Emily. 2006. ‘Curses! Swords, Spears, and the Supernatural in the Versions of *Gísla saga Súrssonar*’. In *The Fantastic in Old Norse/Icelandic Literature. Sagas and the British Isles. Preprint Papers of the 13th International Saga Conference, Durham and York, 6th–12th August, 2006*. 2 vols. Ed. John McKinnell, David Ashurst, and Donata Kick. II: 565–74. Durham: Centre for Medieval and Renaissance Studies.
- Lethbridge, Emily. 2007. ‘Narrative Variation in the Versions of *Gísla saga Súrssonar*’. Unpublished PhD dissertation, University of Cambridge.
- Lethbridge, Emily. 2010 forthcoming. ‘Dating Gísla saga’. In *Dating the Sagas*. Ed. Else Mundal.
- Lie, Hallvard. 1956. ‘Billedbeskrivende dikt’. In *Kulturhistorisk leksikon for nordisk middelalder fra vikingetid til reformationstid* 1: 542–5.
- Liestøl, Aslak. 1963. ‘Runer fra Bryggen’. *Viking. Tidsskrift for norrøn arkeologi* 27: 5–53.
- Liestøl, Aslak. 1965. ‘Rúnavísur frá Björgvin’. *Skírnir* 139: 27–51.
- Liestøl, Aslak. 1973. ‘Runenstäbe aus Haithabu-Hedeby’. In *Berichte über die Ausgrabungen in Haithabu*. 6, *Das archäologische Fundmaterial* II: 96–119. Neumünster: Wachholtz.
- Lindow, John. 1988. ‘Addressing Thor’. *Scandinavian Studies* 60: 119–36.
- Lindow, John. 1997. ‘Íslendingabók and Myth’. *Scandinavian Studies* 69: 454–64.

Bibliography

- Linke, Hansjürgen. 1978. ‘Das volkssprachige Drama und Theater im deutschen und niederländischen Sprachbereich’. In *Europäisches Spätmittelalter*. Ed. Willi Erzgräber and Franz Brunhölzl, 733–63. Neues Handbuch der Literaturwissenschaft 8. Wiesbaden: Akademische Verlagsgesellschaft Athenaion.
- Loewenthal, John. 1919. ‘Zur germanischen Wortkunde’. *Arkiv för nordisk filologi* 35: 229–42.
- Loth, Agneta, ed. 1956. *Gísla saga Súrssonar*. Nordisk filologi. Tekster og lærebøger til universitetsbrug A 11. Copenhagen: Munksgaard.
- Loth, Agneta, ed. 1960. *Membrana regia deperdita*. Editiones Arnamagnæanæ A 5. Copenhagen: Munksgaard.
- Lott, Bernard, ed. 1968. [William Shakespeare] *Hamlet*. New Swan Shakespeare Advanced Series. London: Longman.
- Louis-Jensen, Jonna. 1986. ‘Jón Helgason. 30. juni 1899–19. januar 1986’. *Københavns Universitet: Årbog*: 27–30.
- Louis-Jensen, Jonna. 1997. ‘Heimskringla – et værk af Snorri Sturluson?’ *Nordica Bergensia* 14: 230–45.
- Louis-Jensen, Jonna. 1999. ‘Jón Helgason og den københavnske udgivertradition’ [paper delivered on the occasion of Stefán Karlsson’s promotion to honorary doctor, University of Copenhagen; summary in 1998–9. *The Arnamagnæan Institute and Dictionary Bulletin* 22: 16.]
- Louis-Jensen, Jonna. 2005. ‘En konjektur til *Grettisfærsla*’. *Opuscula* 12: 304–6. Bibliotheca Arnsmagnæana 44. Copenhagen: Reitzel.
- Maas, Paul. 1957. *Textkritik*. 3rd ed. Leipzig and Berlin: Teubner.
- Maas, Paul, trans. Barbara Flower. 1958. *Textual Criticism*. Oxford: Clarendon [translation of Maas 1957.]
- McDougall, Ian, ed. 2007. *Allra postula minnisvísur*. In *Skaldic Poetry of the Scandinavian Middle Ages*. Vol. 7, *Poetry On Christian Subjects*. Ed. Margaret Clunies Ross, 851–70. Turnhout: Brepols.
- McGann, Jerome J. 1983. *A Critique of Modern Textual Criticism*. Chicago and London: University of Chicago Press [repr. 1992]. With a preface by the author and a foreword by D. W. Greetham. Charlottesville, Virginia: University of Virginia Press].
- McGann, Jerome J. 1988. ‘Theories of Texts’. *London Review of Books* [18th February]: 20–1.
- McGann, Jerome J. 1991. *The Textual Condition*. Princeton Studies in Culture/Power/History. Princeton: Princeton University Press.

Bibliography

- McGann, Jerome J. 2005. ‘From Text to Work: Digital Tools and Emergence of the Social Text’. *Variants. The Journal of the European Society for Textual Scholarship* 4: 225–40.
- McKenzie, D.F. 1986. *Bibliography and the Sociology of Texts. The Panizzi Lectures 1985*. London: British Library [repr. 1999. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press].
- Magnús Ólafsson. 1650. *Specimen Lexici Runici, Obscuriorum qvarundam vocum, qvæ in priscis occurrent Historiis & Poëtis Danicis, enodationem exhibens*. Copenhagen: Melchior Martzan.
- Magnus, Olaus. 1555. *Historia de gentibus Septentrionalibus*. Rome: de Viottis.
- Malm, Mats. 1994. ‘Olaus Rudbeck’s Atlantica and Old Norse Poetics’. In *Northern Antiquity: The Post-Medieval Reception of Edda and Saga*. Ed. Andrew Wawn, 1–25. Enfield Lock: Hisarlik.
- Malm, Mats. 1996. *Minervas äpple. Om diktsyn, tolkning och bildspråk inom nordisk göticism*. Stockholm/Stehag: Symposion.
- Malone, Kemp, ed. 1962. *Widsith*. *Anglistica* 13. Rev. ed. Copenhagen: Rosenkilde & Bagger.
- Már Jonsson. 1998. *Árni Magnússon: ævisaga*. Reykjavík: Mál og menning.
- Marold, Edith. 2000. ‘Bergen als literarischer Umschlagplatz’. In *Arbeiten zur Skandinavistik: 13. Arbeitstagung der deutschsprachigen Skandinavistik, 29.7.–3.8.1997 in Lysebu (Oslo)*. Ed. Fritz Paul, Joachim Grage and Wilhelm Heizmann, 189–201. Texte und Untersuchungen zur Germanistik und Skandinavistik 45. Frankfurt am Main: Lang.
- Martin Clarke, Daisy E. 1936. ‘The Office of Thyle in Beowulf’. *Review of English Studies* 12: 61–6.
- Maurer, Konrad. 1867. *Ueber die Ausdrücke: altnordische, altnorwegische & isländische Sprache*. München: Verlag der königlichen bayerischen Akademie der Wissenschaften.
- Menota = Medieval Nordic Text Archive: www.menota.org/texts.
- Meritt, Herbert Dean. 1954. *Fact and Lore about Old English Words*. Stanford University Publications. University Series. Language and Literature 13. Stanford and London: Stanford University Press.
- Meulengracht Sørensen, Preben, trans. Judith Jesch. 1986. ‘Murder in Marital Bed: An Attempt at Understanding a Crucial Scene in *Gísla Saga*’. In *Structure and Meaning in Old Norse Literature: New Approaches to Textual Analysis and Literary Criticism*. Ed. John Lindow, Lars Lönnroth and Gerd Wolfgang Weber, 235–63. The Viking Collection 3. Odense: University of Odense Press.

Bibliography

- Meulengracht Sørensen, Preben, trans. Margaret Clunies Ross. 2000. ‘Social Institutions and Belief Systems of Medieval Iceland (c.870–1400) and Their Relations to Literary Production’. In *Old Icelandic Literature and Society*. Ed. Margaret Clunies Ross, 8–29. Cambridge Studies in Medieval Literature 92. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Miller, William Ian. 1983. ‘Choosing the Avenger: Some Aspects of the Bloodfeud in Medieval Iceland and England’. *Law and History Review* 1: 159–204.
- Miller, William Ian. 1990. *Bloodtaking and Peacemaking: Feud, Law and Society in Saga Iceland*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Minnis, A.J. and A.B. Scott, eds. 1988. *Medieval Literary Theory and Criticism c. 1100–c. 1375. The Commentary Tradition*. Oxford: Clarendon [rev. ed. 1991. Oxford: Clarendon].
- Mitchell, Stephen A. 1987. ‘The Sagaman and Oral Literature: The Icelandic Traditions of Hjörleifr inn kvensami and Geirmundr heljarskinn’. In *Comparative Research on Oral Traditions: A Memorial for Milman Parry*. Ed. John Miles Foley, 395–423. Columbus: Slavica.
- Moltke, Erik. 1975. ‘Runeninschriften aus der Stadt Schleswig’. *Beiträge zur Schleswiger Stadtgeschichte* 20: 76–88.
- Moltke, Erik, trans. Peter Foote. 1985. *Runes and Their Origin. Denmark and Elsewhere*. Copenhagen: National Museum of Denmark. [first published 1976. *Runerne i Danmark og deres oprindelse*. Copenhagen: Forum].
- Mundal, Else. 1978. ‘Kold eru kvenna ráð’. In *Kvinner og Bøker: Festschrift til Ellisiv Steen på hennes 70-årsdag 4. februar 1978*. Ed. Edvard Beyer, 183–93. Oslo: Gyldendal.
- Mundal, Else and Jonas Wellendorf, eds. 2008. *Oral Art Forms and Their Passage Into Writing*. Copenhagen: Museum Tusculanum Press.
- Napier, Arthur S., ed. 1900. *Old English Glosses. Chiefly unpublished. Anecdota Oxoniensa. Mediaeval and Modern Series* 11. Oxford: Clarendon.
- Neckel, Gustav, ed., Hans Kuhn, rev. 1983. *Edda: Die Lieder des Codex Regius nebst verwandten Denkmälern*. Vol. 1, Text. 5th ed. Heidelberg: Winter.
- Nichols, Stephen G. 1990. ‘Introduction: Philology in a Manuscript Culture’. *Speculum* 65: 1–10 [special issue: ‘The New Philology’].
- Nichols, Stephen G. 1994. ‘Philology and its Discontents’. In *The Future of the Middle Ages: Medieval Literature in the 1990s*. Ed. William D. Paden, 113–41. Gainesville: University Press of Florida.

Bibliography

- Nichols, Stephen G. 1997. ‘Why Material Philology?’ *Zeitschrift für deutsche Philologie* 116: 1–21 [special issue: ‘Philologie als Textwissenschaft. Alte und neue Horizonte’].
- Nordland, Odd. 1953. ‘Norrøne og europeiske litterære lån i Grettis saga’. *Maal og Minne*: 32–48.
- Noreen, Adolf. 1920. ‘Nordens äldsta folk- och ortnamn’. *Fornvännen* 15: 23–50.
- Noreen, Erik. 1921. ‘Eddastudier. Språkvetenskapliga sällskapets i Uppsala förhandlingar 1919–1921’. *Uppsala Universitets Årskrifter. Filosofi, språkvetenskap och historiska vetenskaper* 5 A: 1–44.
- Ogilvy, J.D.A. 1963. ‘Mimi, scurrae, histriones: Entertainers of the Early Middle Ages’. *Speculum* 38: 603–19.
- Ogilvy, J.D.A. 1964. ‘Unferth: Foil to Beowulf?’ *Publications of the Modern Language Association of America* 79: 370–5.
- Ólafur Halldórsson, ed. 1958–2000. *Óláfs saga Tryggvasonar en mesta*. 3 vols. Editiones Arnamagnæanæ A 1–3. Copenhagen: Munksgaard/Reitzel.
- Ólafur Halldórsson. 1960. ‘Grettisförsla’. *Opuscula* 1: 49–77. Bibliotheca Arnamagnæana 20. Copenhagen: Munksgaard.
- Ólafur Halldórsson. 1963. ‘Úr sögu skinnbóka’. *Skírnir* 137: 83–105.
- Ólafur Halldórsson. 1964. ‘Nokkrar spássíugreinar í pappírshandritum frá 17. öld. Runnar frá skinnhandriti af Orkneyinga sögu’. *Skírnir* 138: 131–55.
- Ólafur Halldórsson. 1988. ‘Tanker om tekstkritiske udgaver’. In *Tekstkritisk teori og praksis*. Ed. Bjarne Fidjestøl, Odd Einar Haugen and Magnus Rindal, 11–23. Oslo: Novus.
- Ólafur Halldórsson. 1990. ‘Grettisförsla’. In *Grettisförsla. Safn ritgerða eftir Ólaf Halldórsson. Gefið út á sjötugsafmæli hans 18. apríl 1990*. Ed. Sigrún Steingrímsson, Stefán Karlsson and Sverrir Tómasson, 19–50. Rit Árnastofnunar 38. Reykjavík: Stofnun Árna Magnússonar [revised version of Ólafur Halldórsson 1960].
- Ólafur Halldórsson. 2003. ‘Sögnin moga í rúnaristu frá Björgvin.’ *Gripla* 14: 239–42.
- Olrik, Axel. 1909. ‘At sidde på höj. Oldtidens konger og oldtidens thulir’. *Danske studier* 6: 1–10.
- Olrik, J. and H. Raeder, eds. 1931–57. *Saxo Grammaticus. Saxonis Gesta Danorum*. 2 vols. Copenhagen: Munksgaard.
- Ong, Walter J. 1982. *Orality and Literacy: The Technologizing of the Word*. London: Methuen.

Bibliography

- Opland, Jeff. 1980. *Anglo-Saxon Oral Poetry: A Study of the Traditions*. New Haven and London: Yale University Press.
- Orchard, Andy. 2003. *A Critical Companion to Beowulf*. Cambridge: Brewer.
- Óskar Halldórsson. 1977. ‘Goðsögnin um Gretti: nokkrar athuganir.’ In *Sjötú ritgerðir helgaðar Jakobi Benediktssyni 20. júlí 1977*. 2 vols. Ed. Einar G. Pétursson and Jónas Kristjánsson, I: 627–39. Rit Árnastofnunar 12. Reykjavík: Stofnun Árna Magnússonar.
- Owen, Olwyn, ed. 2005. *The World of Orkneyinga Saga. The ‘Broad-Cloth Viking Trip’*. Kirkwall: The Orcadian.
- Páll Eggert Ólason, ed. 1947. *Íslands þúsund ár. Kvæðasafn: 1300–1600*. 5 vols. Reykjavík: Helgafell.
- Perkins, David. 1992. *Is Literary History Possible?* Baltimore and London: John Hopkins University Press.
- Perkins, Richard. 2000. ‘Potenti murmure verborum grandia cete maris in littora trahunt’. In *Studien zur Isländersaga. Festschrift für Rolf Heller*. Ed. Heinrich Beck and Else Ebel, 223–30. Ergänzungsbände zum Reallexikon der germanischen Altertumskunde 24. Berlin: de Gruyter.
- Pétur Sigurðsson. 1933–5. ‘Um Íslendinga sögu Sturlu Þórðarsonar’. In *Safn til sögu Íslands og íslenzkra bókmennata að fornu og nýju* 6/2: 1–177.
- Pickens, Rupert T. 1994. ‘The Future of Old French Studies in America: The “Old” Philology and the Crisis of the “New”’. In *The Future of the Middle Ages: Medieval Literature in the 1990s*. Ed. William D. Paden, 53–86. Gainesville: University Press of Florida.
- Polomé, Edgar. 1975. ‘Old Norse Religious Terminology in Indo-European Perspective’. In *The Nordic Languages and Modern Linguistics 2. Proceedings of the Second Conference of Nordic and General Linguistics, University of Umeå, June 14–19, 1973*. Ed. Karl-Hampus Dahlstedt, 654–65. Kungl. Skytteanska samfundets handlingar 13. Stockholm: Almqvist & Wiksell.
- Poole, Russell. 1993. ‘Variants and Variability in the Text of Egill’s *Hófuðlausn*’. In *The Politics of Editing Medieval Texts: Papers Given at the Twenty-seventh Annual Conference on Editorial Problems, University of Toronto, 1–2 November 1991*. Ed. Roberta Frank, 65–105. New York: AMS.
- Poole, Russell. 1998. *Old English Wisdom Poetry*. Annotated Bibliographies of Old and Middle English Literature 5. Cambridge: Brewer.
- Poole, Russell, ed. 2001. *Skaldsagas. Text, Vocation, and Desire in the Icelandic Sagas of Poets*. Ergänzungsbände zum Reallexikon der germanischen Altertumskunde 27. Berlin and New York: de Gruyter.

Bibliography

- Poole, Russell. 2003. ‘Lof en eigi háð? The Riddle of *Grettis saga* Verse 14’. *Saga-Book of the Viking Society* 27: 25–47.
- Poole, Russell. 2004a. ‘Myth, Psychology and Society in *Grettis saga*’. *alvíssmál* 11: 3–16.
- Poole, Russell. 2004b. ‘Adverbial Genitives in Skaldic Poetry’. *Mediaeval Scandinavia* 14: 115–31.
- Poole, Russell. 2006. ‘Some Southern Perspectives on Starcatherus’. *Viking and Medieval Scandinavia* 2: 141–66.
- Quinn, Judy. 1990. ‘*Völuspá* and the Composition of Eddic Verse’. In *Poetry in the Scandinavian Middle Ages*. Ed. Teresa Pàroli, 303–20. Spoleto: Presso la Sede del Centro Studi.
- Quinn, Judy. 1995. ‘*Eddu list*: The Emergence of Skaldic Pedagogy in Medieval Iceland’. *alvíssmál* 4: 69–92.
- Quinn, Judy. 1997. ‘“Ok er þetta upphaf”: First-Stanza Quotation in Old Norse Prosimetrum’. *alvíssmál* 7: 61–80.
- Quinn, Judy. 1998. ‘“Ok verðr henni ljóð á munni”: Eddic Prophecy in the *fornaldarsögur*’. *alvíssmál* 8: 29–50.
- Quinn, Judy. 2000. ‘From Orality to Literacy in Medieval Iceland’. In *Old Icelandic Literature and Society*. Ed. Margaret Clunies Ross, 30–60. Cambridge Studies in Medieval Literature 42. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Quinn, Judy. 2001. ‘Editing the Edda – The Case of *Völuspá*’. *Scripta Islandica* 51: 69–92.
- Quinn, Judy. forthcoming 2010. ‘Liquid Knowledge: Traditional Conceptualisations of Learning in Eddic Poetry’. In *Along the Oral-Written Continuum: Types of Texts, Relations and their Implications*. Ed. S. Ranković, L. Melve and E. Mundal, 175–217. Utrecht Studies in Medieval Literacy. Turnhout: Brepols.
- Rafn, Carl Christian and Finnur Magnússon, eds. 1838–45. *Grønlands historiske mindesmærker*. 3 vols. Kongelige nordiske oldskrift-selskab. Copenhagen: Brünnich.
- O’Rahilly, Cecile, ed. and trans. 1967. *Táin Bó Cuailnge from the Book of Leinster*. Dublin: Dublin Institute of Advanced Studies.
- Reenhielm, Jacob, ed. 1680. *Thorstens Viikings-sons Saga*. Uppsala: Henricus Curio.
- Reenhielm, Jacob., ed. 1691. *Saga Om K. Oloff Tryggwaszon i Norrege*. Uppsala: [Keyser].

Bibliography

- Rhodes, E. W., ed. 1889. *Defensor's Liber scintillarum, with an Interlinear Anglo-Saxon Version Made Early in the Eleventh Century*. Early English Text Society [Original Series] 93. London: Trübner.
- Rindal, Magnus, ed. 1981. *Barlaams ok Josaphats saga*. Norrøne tekster 4. Oslo: Norsk historisk kjeldeskrift-institutt.
- Robinson, Peter, ed. 1996. *Geoffrey Chaucer. The Wife of Bath's Prologue on CD-ROM*. The Canterbury Tales Project. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Robinson, Peter. 2000. 'The One Text and the Many Texts'. *Literary and Linguistic Computing* 15: 5–14.
- Robinson, Peter. 2004. 'Where We Are With Electronic Scholarly Editions, and Where We Want to Be'. *Jahrbuch für Computerphilologie Online* 1: 123–43 [computerphilologie.uni-muenchen.de/jgo3/robinson.html].
- Robinson, Peter. 2005. 'Current Issues in Making Digital Editions of Medieval Texts – or, Do Electronic Scholarly Editions Have a Future?'. *Digital Medievalist* 1 [www.digitalmedievalist.org/article.cfm?RecID=6].
- Rosier, James L. 1962. 'Design for Treachery: The Unferth Intrigue'. *Publications of the Modern Language Association of America* 77: 1–7.
- Rowe, Elizabeth Ashman. 2004. 'Porsteins þátr uxafóts, Helga þátr Póríssonar, and the Conversion Þættir'. *Scandinavian Studies* 76: 459–73.
- Rowe, Elizabeth Ashman. 2005. *The Development of Flateyjarbók: Iceland and the Norwegian Dynastic Crisis of 1389*. The Viking Collection 15. Odense: University Press of Southern Denmark.
- Rudbeck, Olof. 1679–1702. *Olf Rudbecks Atland eller Manheim*. 4 vols. Uppsala: Henricus Curio.
- Ruh, Kurt, ed. 1985. *Überlieferungsgeschichtliche Prosaforschung. Beiträge der Würzburger Forschergruppe zur Methode und Auswertung*. Texte und Textgeschichte 19. Tübingen: Niemeyer.
- Röhn, Hartmut. 1979. 'Der Einleitungsteil der *Gísla saga Súrssonar*: Ein Vergleich der beiden Versionen'. *Arkiv för nordisk filologi* 94: 95–113.
- Schach, Paul. 1972. 'Some Observations on the Helgafell Episode in *Eyrbyggja saga* and *Gísla saga*'. In *Saga og språk: Studies in Language and Literature*. Ed. John M. Weinstock, 113–45. Austin, Texas: Jenkins.
- Schlauch, Margaret. 1934. *Romance in Iceland*. London: George Allen & Unwin.
- Schlyter, Carl Johan and Hans Samuel Collin, eds. 1827. *Corpus iuris Sueo-Gotorum antiqui. Samling af Sweriges gamla lagar*. Vol. 1, *Westgöta-Lagen*. Stockholm: Haeggström.

Bibliography

- Schück, Henrik. 1932–44. *Kgl. vitterhets historie och antikvitets akadamien Dess förhistoria och historia*. 8 vols. Stockholm: Kungl. Vitterhets historie och antikvitets akademien.
- Scott, Forrest S., ed. 2003. *Eyrbyggja saga. The Vellum Tradition*. Editiones Arnamagnæanæ A 18. Copenhagen: Reitzel.
- Seelow, Hubert. 2005. ‘Noch einmal: *Grettis saga Ásmundarsonar*’. In *Neue Ansätze in der Mittelalterphilologie – Nye veier i middelalderfilologien. Akten der skandinavistischen Arbeitstagung in Münster vom 24. bis 26. Oktober 2002*. Ed. Susanne Kramarz-Bein, 197–206. Texte und Untersuchungen zur Germanistik und Skandinavistik 55. Frankfurt am Main: Peter Lang.
- Seip, Didrik Arup. 1968. ‘Some Remarks on the Language of the Magnus legend in the Orkneyinga saga’. In *Nordica et Anglicana. Studies in Honor of Stefán Einarsson*. Ed. Allan H. Orrick, 93–6. Janua Linguarum. Series Maior 22. The Hague: Mouton.
- Shillingsburg, Peter. 1996. *Scholarly Editing in the Computer Age: Theory and Practice*. Editorial Theory and Literary Criticism. 3rd ed. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press.
- Shillingsburg, Peter. 2006. *From Gutenberg to Google: Electronic Representations of Literary Texts*. Cambridge: University of Cambridge Press.
- Sigurður Breiðfjörð. 1857. *Rímur af Gísla Súrssyni*. Copenhagen: [no publisher]. [2nd ed. 1908. Bessastaðir: [no publisher]. 3rd ed. 1988.
- Sigurður Nordal, ed. 1913–6. *Orkneyinga saga*. 3 vols. Samfund til udgivelse af gammel nordisk litteratur 40. Copenhagen: Møller.
- Sigurður Nordal, ed. 1933. *Egils saga Skalla-Grímssonar*. Íslenzk fornrit 2. Reykjavík: Hið íslenzka fornritafélag.
- Sigurður Nordal. 1953. ‘Sagalitteraturen’. In *Litteraturhistorie. B, Norge og Island*. Ed. Sigurður Nordal, 180–273. Nordisk kultur 8. Stockholm: Bonnier; Oslo: Aschehoug; Copenhagen, Schultz.
- Sigurgeir Steingrímsson. 2004. ‘Árni Magnússon’. In *The Manuscripts of Iceland*. Ed. Gísli Sigurðsson and Vésteinn Ólason, 85–99. Culture House Editions 2. Reykjavík: Stofnun Árna Magnússonar.
- Simek, Rudolf, trans. Angela Hall. 1993. *Dictionary of Northern Mythology*. Cambridge: Brewer.
- Simon, Eckehardt. 1990. ‘The Case for Medieval Philology’. In *On Philology*. Ed. Jan Ziolkowski, 16–9. Pennsylvania: Pennsylvania State University Press.

Bibliography

- Slay, Desmond, ed. 1960a. *Hrólfs saga kraka*. Editiones Arnamagnæanae B 1. Copenhagen: Munksgaard.
- Slay, Desmond. 1960b. *The Manuscripts of Hrólfs saga Kraka*. Bibliotheca Arnamagnæana 24. Copenhagen: Munksgaard.
- Smith, Sidney Rufus. 1969. ‘Orthographical Criteria in Eggert Ólafsson’s “Réttritabók”’. *Scandinavian Studies* 41: 231–42.
- Smith, Sidney Rufus. 1974. ‘An Edition of Eggert Ólafsson’s Réttritabók and an Interpretation of His Orthographical Rules’. Ann Arbor, MI: Xerox University Microfilms. Unpublished PhD thesis, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, 1965.
- Solopova, Elizabeth, ed. 2000. *Geoffrey Chaucer. The General Prologue on CD-ROM*. The Canterbury Tales Project. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Spur, Birgitta. 1980. ‘Hver myrti Véstein?’ *Mímir* 19: 60–7.
- Steenberg, A. 1968. ‘Plov’. In *Kulturhistorisk leksikon for nordisk middelalder fra vikingetid til reformationstid* 13: 330–42. Copenhagen: Rosenkilde & Bagger.
- Stefán Karlsson, ed. 1967. *Sagas of Icelandic Bishops. Fragments of Eight Manuscripts*. Early Icelandic Manuscripts in Facsimile 7. Copenhagen: Rosenkilde & Bagger.
- Stefán Karlsson. 1970. ‘Ritun Reykjarfjarðarbókar. Excursus, bókagerð bænda’. *Opuscula* 4: 120–40. Bibliotheca Arnamagnæana 30. Copenhagen: Reitzel.
- Stefán Karlsson. 1989. ‘Tungan’. In *Íslensk þjóðmenning*. Vol. 6, *Munnmenntir og bókmennung*. Ed. Frosti F. Jóhannsson, 1–54. Reykjavík: Bókaútgáfan Þjóðsaga.
- Stefán Karlsson. 2000. ‘Tungan’ [revised version of Stefán Karlsson 1989]. In *Stafkrókar. Ritgerðir eftir Stefán Karlsson, gefnar út í tilefni sjötugsafmæli hans 2. desember 1998*. Ed. Guðvarður Már Gunnlaugsson, 19–75. Rit Árnastofnunar 49. Reykjavík: Stofnun Árna Magnússonar.
- Stefán Karlsson, trans. Rory McTurk. 2004. *The Icelandic Language*. London: Viking Society for Northern Research [translation of Stefán Karlsson 2000].
- Storm, Gustav. 1886. ‘Om Tidsforholdet mellem Kongespeilet og Stjórн samt Barlaams ok Josaphats saga’. *Arkiv for nordisk filologi* 3: 83–8.
- Storm, Gustav. ed. 1899. *Laurents Hanssøns sagaoversættelse*. Videnskabsselskabets skrifter 2, Historisk-filosofisk klasse 1. Christiania: Dybwad.

Bibliography

- Strömbäck, Dag. 1935. *Sejd. Textstudier i nordisk religionshistoria*. Nordiska texter och undersökningar 5. Stockholm: Geber; Copenhagen: Levin & Munksgaard.
- Strömbäck, Dag. 1952. ‘Att binda helskor: anteckningar til *Gisle Surssons saga*’. *Kungliga humanistiska vetenskaps-samfundet i Uppsala, Årsbok*: 139–48.
- Sundqvist, Olof. 2003. ‘The Problem of Religious Specialists and Cult Performers in Early Scandinavia’. *Zeitschrift für Religionswissenschaft* 11: 107–31.
- Sverrir Jakobsson. 2005. *Við og veröldin. Heimsmynd Íslendinga 1100–1400*. Reykjavík: Háskólaútgáfan.
- Sverrir Tómasson. 1988. *Formálar íslenskra sagnaritara á miðöldum*. Rannsókn bókmennatahefðar. Rit Árnastofnunar 33. Reykjavík: Stofnun Árna Magnússonar.
- Sverrir Tómasson. 2000. “‘Strákligr líz mér Skíði’”. Skíðaríma, Íslenskur föstuleikur?”. *Skírnir* 174: 305–20.
- Sverrir Tómasson. 2002. ‘Er nýja textafræðin ný? Þankar um gamla fræðigrein’. *Gripla* 13: 199–216.
- Sverrir Tómasson. 2005. ‘*Bósa saga og Herrauðs*: skemmtun allra tíma’. In *Bósa saga og Herrauðs*. Ed. Sverrir Tómasson, 48–70. 2nd ed. Reykjavík: Stormur.
- Tangherlini, Timothy R. 1990. ‘Some Old Norse Hang-ups: Ritual aspects of *Hávamál* 134’. *Mankind Quarterly* 31: 87–108.
- Tanselle, G. Thomas. 2005. *Textual Criticism since Greg: A Chronicle 1950–2000*. Charlottesville: The Bibliographical Society of the University of Virginia.
- Tarrant, R.J. 1995. ‘The Editing of Classical Latin Literature’. In *Scholarly Editing: A Guide to Research*. Ed. D.C. Greetham, 99–148. New York: The Modern Language Association of America.
- Taylor, Alexander Burt, trans. 1938. *The Orkneyinga Saga. [A New Translation with Introduction and Notes]*. Edinburgh: Oliver & Boyd.
- TEI = Text Encoding Initiative: www.tei-c.org
- Thompson, Claiborne W. 1973. ‘*Gísla Saga*: The Identity of Vestein’s Slayer’. *Arkiv för nordisk filologi* 88: 85–90.
- Thorell, Olof, ed. 1959. *Fem Moseböcker på fornsvenska enligt Cod. Holm. A 1*. Samlingar utgivna av Svenska forniskrift-sällskapet 212, 218, 223. Uppsala: Almqvist & Wiksell.

Bibliography

- Timpanaro, Sebastiano, trans. Dieter Irmer. 1971. *Die Entstehung der Lachmannschen Methode*. 2nd ed. Hamburg: H. Buske. [first published 1963. *La genesi del metodo del Lachmann*. Biblioteca del saggiajore 18. Florence: Monnier].
- Timpanaro, Sebastiano. 1981. *La genesi del metodo del Lachmann*. 2nd ed. Padua: Liviana.
- Timpanaro, Sebastiano, ed. and trans. Glenn W. Most. 2005. *The Genesis of Lachmann's Method*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press [translation of Timpanaro 1981].
- Torfaeus: see Þormóður Torfason
- Tranter, Stephen. 1987. *Sturlunga Saga: The Role of the Creative Compiler*. European University Studies Series 1, German Language and Literature 941. Frankfurt am Main: Peter Lang.
- Trillmich, Werner, and Rudolf Buchner, eds. 1961. *Adami Bremensis Gesta Hammaburgensis Ecclesiae Pontificum*. In *Fontes saeculorum noni et undecimi historiam ecclesiae Hammaburgensis necnon imperii illustrantes*, 160–499. Berlin: Rütten & Loening.
- Turville-Petre, E. O. G[abriel]. 1953. *Origins of Icelandic Literature*. Oxford: Clarendon.
- Turville-Petre, E. O. G[abriel]. 1964. *Myth and Religion of the North: The Religion of Ancient Scandinavia*. History of Religion. London: Weidenfeld & Nicolson.
- Turville-Petre, E. O. G[abriel]. 1972. ‘Gísli Súrsson and his Poetry: Traditions and Influences’. In his *Nine Norse Studies*, 118–53. Viking Society for Northern Research Text Series 5. London: Viking Society for Northern Research, University of London [first published 1944. *Modern Language Review* 39: 374–91].
- Tyler, Elizabeth M. and Ross Balzaretti. 2006. ‘Introduction’. In *Narrative and History in the Early Medieval West*. Ed. Elizabeth M. Tyler and Ross Balzaretti, 1–9. Studies in the Early Middle Ages 16. Turnhout: Brepols.
- Upton, Anthony F. 1998. *Charles XI and Swedish Absolutism, 1660–1697*. Cambridge Studies in Early Modern History. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Verelius, Olof, ed. 1664. *Gothrici et Rolfi Westrogothiae regum historia lingua antiqua gothica conscripta*. Uppsala: Henricus Curio
- Verelius, Olof, ed. 1666. *Herrauds och Bosa Saga med en ny vttolkning iämpte gambla götskan*. Uppsala: Henricus Curio.

Bibliography

- Verelius, Olof, ed. 1672. *Hervarar saga på Gammal Götska med Olai Vereli Vttolkning Och Notis*. Uppsala: Henricus Curio.
- Vésteinn Ólason. 1969. ‘Greppaminni’. In *Afmælisrit Jóns Helgasonar 30. júní 1969*. Ed. Jakob Benediktsson et al., 198–205. Reykjavík: Heimskringla.
- Vésteinn Ólason. 1993. ‘Íslendingasögur og þættir’. In *Íslensk bókmenntasaga II*. Ed. Böðvar Guðmundsson et al., 25–161. Reykjavík: Mál og menning.
- Vésteinn Ólason. 1999. ‘Gísli Súrsson: A Flawless or Flawed Hero?’. In *Die Aktualität der Saga: Festschrift für Hans Schottman*. Ed. Stig Toftgaard Andersen, 163–75. Reallexicon der germanischen Altertumskunde, Ergänzungsbände 21. Berlin and New York: de Gruyter.
- Vésteinn Ólason. 2005a. ‘Family Sagas’. In *A Companion to Old Norse-Icelandic Literature and Culture*. Ed. Rory McTurk, 101–18. Blackwell Companions to Literature and Culture 31. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Vésteinn Ólason. 2005b. ‘Review of *Eyrbyggja saga. The Vellum Tradition*. Ed. Forrest S. Scott. Editiones Arnamagnæanæ A 18. Copenhagen 2003. *Saga-Book of the Viking Society* 29, 126–30.
- Vésteinn Ólason and Þórður Ingi Guðjónsson. 2000. ‘Sammenhængen mellem tolknninger og tekstditioner af Gísla saga’. In *Den fornordiska texten i filologisk och litteraturvetenskaplig belysning. Studier och diskussionsinlägg*. Ed. Kristinn Jóhannesson, Karl G. Johansson and Lars Lönnroth, 96–120. Gothenburg Old Norse Studies 2. Gothenburg: Litteraturvetenskapliga Institutionen, Göteborgs Universitet.
- Vilhjálmur P. Gíslason. 1926. *Eggert Ólafsson*. Íslensk endurreisn 2. Reykjavík: Þorsteinn Gíslason.
- Vogt, Walter Heinrich. 1927. *Stilgeschichte der eddischen Wissensdichtung*. Vol. 1, *Der Kultredner (þulr)*. Veröffentlichungen der Schleswig-Holsteinischen Universitätsgesellschaft 6 i. Breslau: Ferdinand Hirt.
- Vogt, Walter Heinrich. 1927–8. ‘Der frühgermanische Kultredner: þulr, þula und eddische Wissensdichtung’. *Acta Philologica Scandinavica* 2: 250–63.
- Vogt, Walter Heinrich. 1942. *Die Pula zwischen Kultrede und eddischer Wissensdichtung*. Nachrichten der Akademie der Wissenschaften in Göttingen, Philologisch-historische Klasse 1. Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht.
- Vries, Jan de. 1956–7. *Altgermanische Religionsgeschichte*. 2 vols. Grundriss der germanischen Philologie 12. 2nd ed. Berlin: de Gruyter.
- Vries, Jan de. 1964–7. *Altnordische Literaturgeschichte*. 2 vols. Grundriss der germanischen Philologie 15–6. 2nd ed. Berlin: de Gruyter.

Bibliography

- Vries, Jan de. 1977. *Altnordisches etymologisches Wörterbuch*. 3rd ed. Leiden: Brill.
- Wallete, Anna. 2004. *Sagans svenska. Synen på vikingatiden och de isländska sagorna under 300 år*. Lund: Sekel.
- Wawn, Andrew, ed. 1994. *Northern Antiquity: The Post-Medieval Reception of Edda and Saga*. Enfield Lock: Hisarlik.
- Wawn, Andrew. 2000. *The Vikings and the Victorians: Inventing the Old North in Nineteenth-Century Britain*. Cambridge: Brewer.
- van Weenen, Andrea de Leeuw, ed. 1987. *Möðruvallabók. AM 132 Fol.* 2 vols. Leiden: Brill.
- van Weenen, Andrea de Leeuw, ed. 1993. *The Icelandic Homily Book: Perg. 15 4to in the Royal Library, Stockholm*. Íslensk handrit, Series in Quarto 3. Reykjavík: Stofnun Árna Magnússonar.
- van Weenen, Andrea de Leeuw. 2000. *A Grammar of Möðruvallabók*. CNWS publications 85. Leiden: Research School CNWS, Leiden University.
- Wendt, Bo-A. 2006. ‘En text är en text är en text? Om en terminologisk tredelning av textbegreppet’. *Arkiv för nordisk filologi* 121: 253–74.
- Wessén, Elias and Sven B. F. Jansson, eds. 1940–58. *Sveriges runinskrifter*. Vols. 6–9, *Upplands runinskrifter*. Kungl. Vitterhets historie och antikvitets akademien. Stockholm: Wahlström & Widstrand.
- West, Martin L. 1973. *Textual Criticism and Editorial Technique Applicable to Greek and Latin Texts*. Stuttgart: Teubner.
- Whaley, Diana. 2000. ‘A Useful Past: Historical Writing in Medieval Iceland’. In *Old Icelandic Literature and Society*. Ed. Margaret Clunies Ross, 161–202. Cambridge Studies in Medieval Literature 42. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Whaley, Diana, ed. 2009. Þjóðólfr Arnórsson, *Magnússflokkur*. In *Skaldic Poetry of the Scandinavian Middle Ages*. Vol. 2, *Poetry from the Kings’ Sagas* 2. Ed. Kari Ellen Gade, 61–87. Turnhout: Brepols.
- Wills, Tarrin, Diana Whaley, Margaret Clunies Ross, Kari Gade, Edith Marold and Guðrún Nordal, eds. 2005. *Skaldic Poetry of the Scandinavian Middle Ages. Editors’ Manual*. 3rd ed. Sydney: Centre for Medieval Studies, University of Sydney [skaldic.arts.usyd.edu.au].
- Wolf, Kirsten. 1993. ‘Old Norse – New Philology’. *Scandinavian Studies* 65: 338–48.
- Wollin, Lars. 1981. *Svensk latinöversättning*. Vol. 1, *Processen*. Samlingar utgivna av Svenska fornskriftsällskapet 251, Lundastudier i svensk språkvetenskap A 34. Lund: Blom.

Bibliography

- Worm, Ole. 1636. *[Runir], seu, Danica literatura antiquissima, vulgo Gothica dicta.* Copenhagen: Melchioris Martzan.
- Worm, Ole. 1643. *Danicorum monumentorum [libri sex. E spissis antiquitatum tenebris et in Dania ac Norvegia extantibus ruderibus eruti].* Copenhagen: Moltke.
- Wright, Thomas, ed. 1884. *Anglo-Saxon and Old English Vocabularies.* 2 vols. 2nd ed. [collated R.P. Wülcker]. London: Trübner.
- Würth, Stefanie. 1991. *Elemente des Erzählens. Die þættir der Flateyjarbók.* Beiträge zur nordischen Philologie 20. Basel: Helbing & Lichtenhahn.
- Würth, Stefanie. 2005. ‘Historiography and Pseudo-history’. In *A Companion to Old Norse-Icelandic Literature and Culture*. Ed. Rory McTurk, 155–72. Blackwell Companions to Literature and Culture 31. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Zumpt, Carl, ed. 1831. *Marcus Tullius Cicero [Verrinarum libri septem].* 2 vols. Berolini: Dümmler.
- Zumthor, Paul. 1972. *Essai de poétique médiévale.* Collection Poétique. Paris: Seuil.
- Þórður Ingi Guðjónsson. 2003. ‘Fornfróður sýslumaður Ísfirðinga. Jón Johnsonius (1749–1826)’. *Ársrit Sögufélags Ísfirðinga* 43: 115–26.
- Þórður Ingi Guðjónsson. 2005. ““Köld eru kvenna ráð”: Um gamlan orðskvið”. In *Brageyra léð Kristjáni Eiríkssyni, sextugum, 19. nóvember 2005*. Ed. Guðvarður Már Gunnlaugsson, Margrét Eggertsdóttir and Þórunn Sigurðardóttir, 115–9. Reykjavík: Menningar- og minningarsjóður Mette Magnussen.
- Þórhallur Vilmundarson and Bjarni Vilhjálmsson, eds. 1991. *Harðar saga. Bárðar saga. Þorskfirðinga saga. Flóamanna saga.* Íslenzk fornrit 13. Reykjavík: Hið íslenzka fornritafélag.
- Þormóður Torfason [Torfæus]. 1697. *Orcades seu Rerum Orcadensium historiæ [Libri tres].* Copenhagen: Justin Hög.
- Örnólfur Thorsson. 1994. ‘Grettir sterki og Sturla lögmaður.’ In *Samtíðarsögur. The Contemporary Sagas. Níunda alþjóðlega fornsagnaþingið. Ninth International Saga Conference, Akureyri 31.7–6.8 1994: Forprint. Pre-prints.* 2 vols. Vol. 2: 907–33. Akureyri: [no publisher].